

# Non-predicative verb forms in different system languages

Kazan Federal University, 420008, Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan, Russia

---

## Abstract

© 2018, Slovenska Vzdelavacia Obstaravacia. All rights reserved. The relevance of the issue is determined by the fact that the interdependence of languages is an actual and objective fact of reality, which cannot be ignored by linguists. The purpose of this paper is to carry out a comparative analysis of non-predicative verb forms of the Russian and Turkish languages at the language level and their syntactic functions to reveal the degree of their similarity and difference as well as determine the nature of their relationship. The leading approach to the study of this issue is based on linguistic phenomena from the point of view of the Russian and Turkish languages with regard to theoretical and methodological positions adopted in Russian and Turkic studies. The findings of the paper can be used as a basis for further comparative study of other verb forms and grammatical categories, as well as parts of speech and word classes of the Russian and Turkic languages.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.18355/XL.2018.11.02.28>

---

## Keywords

Non-predicative form, Participle, Russian language, Turkish language, Verb

## References

- [1] BOSQUE, I. 2014. On Resultative Past Participles in Spanish. In: Catalan Journal of Linguistics, vol. 13, pp. 41-77. ISSN: 1695-6885.
- [2] BOYER, J.L. 1984. The classification of participles: a statistical study. In: Grace Theological Journal, vol. 5, n. 2, pp.163-179. ISSN: 0198-666X.
- [3] COLOMBO, L., LAUDANNA, A., DE MARTINO M., BRIVIO, C. 2004. Regularity and/or consistency in the production of the past participle? In: Brain and Language, vol. 90, n. 3, pp. 128-142. ISSN: 1090-2155.
- [4] COWPER, E.A. 1995. English Participle Constructions. In: Canadian Journal of Linguistics, vol. 40, n. 1, pp. 1-38. ISSN: 0008-4131.
- [5] CREISSELS, D. 2009. Principles and Finiteness: The Case of Akhvakh. In: Linguistic Discovery, vol. 7, n. 1, pp. 106-130. ISSN: 1537-0852.
- [6] DE COURTENAY, B. 2003. New Illustrated Encyclopedia. Moscow: The Great Russian Encyclopedia. ISBN: 5-85270-195-5.
- [7] DONG, W.C., JUNGYOUNG, P. 2015. Supplementive Participle Clauses in Science Journal Papers by Korean Graduate Students. In: The journal of Asia TEFL, vol.12, n. 4, pp. 1-36. E-ISSN: 2503-2569.
- [8] EMBICK, D. 2004. On the Structure of Resultative Participles in English. In: Linguistic Inquiry, vol. 35, n. 3, pp. 355-392. ISSN: 0024-3892.
- [9] GAK, V.G. 1974. Comparative study of languages and linguistic typology. In: Russian Language Abroad, n. 3, pp. 40-45. ISSN: 0131-615X.

- [10] HEATH, J. 1985. Proto-Northern Uto-Aztecan Participles. In: *International Journal of American Linguistics*, v. 51, n. 4, pp. 441-452. E-ISSN: 1545-7001.
- [11] KAUSCHKE, Ch., RENNER, L.F., DOMAHS, U. 2016. Past participle formation in specific language impairment. In: *International journal of language and communication disorders*, vol. 52, n. 2, pp. 168-183. ISSN: 1460-6984.
- [12] KISS, K.E., KIEFER, F., SIPTAR, P. 2003. *Új magyar nyelvtan*. Budapest: Osiris Kiadó. ISSN 1218-9855.
- [13] KUCZAJ, S.A. 1977. The acquisition of regular and irregular past tense forms. In: *Journal of Verbal Learning and Verbal Behavior*, vol. 16, n. 5., 352-366. ISSN: 0022-5371.
- [14] LEWIS, G.L. 1967. *Turkish Grammar*. Oxford: Oxford University Press. ISBN 0-19-815838-6.
- [15] RAPP, I. 2015. On the Temporal Interpretation of Present Participles in German. In: *Journal of Semantics*, vol. 32, n. 3, pp. 477-523. ISSN: 01675133.
- [16] SHANSKY, N.M. 1972. *Lexicology of the modern Russian language*. Moscow: Prosveshchenie. ISBN 978-5-3-7-00704-7.