

On the way to the ideal state: Internet against corruption

Leontyev G., Cherepanova E., Gaynullina L., Leontieva L., Khalilova T.
Kazan Federal University, 420008, Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan, Russia

Abstract

© 2017 Serials Publications. The article is devoted to the research of discursive practices in the Internet environment on anticorruption issues. The research objective is to analyze the online-communications of the Russian authorities and citizens on the issues of anticorruption policy implementation. The methodological basis of the research is systemic-communicative approach; its theoretical basis is the conception of an Open society by K. Popper. Basing on the analysis of international rankings and all-Russia opinion polls on corruption issues, Internet freedom and quality of state administration, the authors come to the conclusion that the social-information anomie on anticorruption issues is strengthening. This type of anomie reflects the contradiction between the prescriptive, official information and the descriptive one, which ascertains the actual state of affairs in the society. The information gap leads to the reduction of level of trust to the existing power institutions, to the growth of social-political entropy, to social-network mobilization of the citizens. The analysis of the causes and conditions, which maintain the information misbalance, revealed the incongruence between the technological preparedness of the Russian state for its functions' implementation via the Internet and the level of the states' social-political preparedness for the open anticorruption dialog.

Keywords

Anomie, Civil activity, Corruption, Online communications, State

References

- [1] Corruption in Russia: monitoring, All-Russia surveys by Russian Public Opinion Research Center (VCIOM) in 2005-2015, <http://www.wciom.ru/fileadmin/file/reports-conferences/2015/2015-10-26-korruptcia.pdf> (accessed 30.09.2016).
- [2] Corruption Perceptions Index, 2014, Transparency International, <http://www.transparency.org/cpi2014/results> (accessed 30.09.2016).
- [3] Corruption Perceptions Index, 2015, Transparency International, <http://www.transparency.org/cpi2015#results-table> (accessed 30.09.2016).
- [4] Global Corruption Barometer 2013, Transparency International, <http://transparency.org.ru/barometr-mirovoi-korruptcii/korruptciiu-pobedit-ne-pravitelstvo-a-grazhdane> (accessed 30.09.2016).
- [5] Habermas, J., 1987. TCA Trade. Frankfurt on M., pp: 128.
- [6] Habermas, J., 1988. Nachmetaphysisches Denken. Philosophische Aufsätze. Frankfurt a.M., pp: 180
- [7] Habermas, Jürgen. Technik und Wissenschaft als "Ideologie" (Frankfurt am Main: Suhrkamp,1974), 44-45.
- [8] Klitgaard., Gifts and Bribes Zeckhauser R.I. (eds) Strategy and Choice. Cambridge: MIT Press, 1991.
- [9] Klitgaard R. Controlling Corruption. 1988.

- [10] L. Leontieva, T. Khalilova, L. Gaynullina & A. Khalilov, "Social-Communicative Innovations in Anti-Corruption Activities (Regional Aspect)," *Asian Social Science* Vol. 11, no. 7 (Apr., 2015): 389-390, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n7p387> (accessed 30.09.2016).
- [11] Levada Center, 1.09.2015, <http://www.levada.ru/old/01-09-2015/korruptsiya-stala-bespokoitrossiyan-bolshe-voiny-na-ukraine> (accessed 30.09.2016).
- [12] Ludmila Leontieva, Liliana Gaynullina and Ekaterina Cherepanova, Legal Boundaries of Communication in Russia: Current Approaches to Research, *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 30 (2) (Febr., 2014): 148, [http://www.idosi.org/wasj/wasj30\(2\)14/4.pdf](http://www.idosi.org/wasj/wasj30(2)14/4.pdf) (accessed 30.09.2016).
- [13] Luhmann, N., 2001. *Power. M.: Praxis*, pp: 256.
- [14] Luhmann, N., 2012. *Theory of Society*, Stanford: Stanford University Press. pp: 488
- [15] Popper, Karl R. *The Open Society and Its Enemies. Vol 1: The Spell of Plato* (Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1971), 40.
- [16] Ranking of the openness of the Russian federal executive authorities in 2015, Research by the Russian Public Opinion Research Center (VCIOM) and the Open Government, <http://openstandard.ru/assets/presentation-vciom-2015.pdf> (accessed 30.09.2016).
- [17] Report of the Anticorruption Foundation performance in 2014. Moscow, 2015. <https://md.fbk.info/media/reports/fbk-report-2014.pdf> (accessed 30.09.2016).
- [18] Report of the Russian Public Chamber on the efficiency of the anticorruption measures taken in the Russian Federation and the participation of the civil society institutions in the anticorruption policy implementation (Moscow, 2011). <http://www.oprf.ru/files/dokument2011/dokladkorruptsiya26012012.pdf> (accessed 30.09.2016).
- [19] United Nations E-Government Survey 2014. *E-Government for the Future We Want* (United Nations, New York, 2014), 210, 2016, <https://publicadministration.un.org/egovkb/Portals/egovkb/Documents/un/2014-Survey/E-Gov-Complete-Survey-2014.pdf> (accessed 30.09.2016)
- [20] Van Hoecke M., 2012. *Law as Communication*. St. Petersburg.: University Publishing Consortium Publishing House, pp: 288