

## **Fuzzy multi-criterial choice of geological and technical measures**

Panischev O.Y., Davydov Y.V., Anikin I.V., Kataseva D.V., Katasev A.S., Akhmetvaleev A.M.  
*Kazan Federal University, 420008, Kremlevskaya 18, Kazan, Russia*

---

### **Abstract**

© International Research Publication House The work solves the problem of automating the process planning of assigning geological and technical measures (GTM) at oil fields in conditions of uncertainty. A decision support system is being developed to help an expert make an informed decision about the method of influence of geological and technical measures on an oil reservoir. From the point of view of the imposed restrictions on the choice of geological and technical measures, various types of geological and physical parameters are highlighted. To solve this problem, a fuzzy-production model is proposed for the representation of expert knowledge. A feature of this model is the possibility of different types of parameters use to impose restrictions on the choice of geological and technical measures, using fuzzy restrictions and setting their weights, as well as formalizing the degree of an expert confidence in the reliability of the rule being formed. They provided the possibility of fuzzy modifier use in the conditions of fuzzy production rules for fuzzy constraint correction. To determine the weights of fuzzy constraints in the conditions of the rules, an approach is used based on a multi-criteria assessment of constraints, carried out using the hierarchy analysis method (HAM). The following were used as the criteria for evaluation the weights: the importance of the corresponding geological and physical parameter for an expert, the completeness of the available information on the studied parameter, the relevance of the values, the complexity of obtaining the values. The final choice of geological and technical measures is carried out on the basis of a fuzzy multi-criteria choice according to the following criteria: satisfaction of the fuzzy production model limitations, high technological efficiency, high economic effect, and the impact on the environment. Based on the knowledge of experts, a knowledge base has been formed that includes fuzzy production rules for choosing 81 different geological and technical measures at production wells using the restrictions on 15 geological and physical parameters. The knowledge base has been tested at the wells of the Feofanovskoye field, Alkeevskaya, Chishminskaya areas. The development of recommendations was carried out in conditions of information incompleteness on a number of parameters of the set. The results generated by the decision support system correspond to the decisions made by the experts.

---

### **Keywords**

Decision Support, Fuzzy Logic, Fuzzy Production Model, Geological And Technical Event, Hierarchy Analysis Method, Knowledge Base

## References

- [1] Nasybullin AV, Sattarov R, Latifullin FM, Denisov OV, Chirikin AV. Creation of software tool for long-term investment planning with a view to the effective development of oil fields (Russian). *Oil Industry Journal*. 2019 Dec 1;2019(12):128-31.
- [2] Buana YS, Adisatria MA, Azizurrofi AA. Paper production cost analysis of improved oil recovery projects based on field development plan in Indonesia. *Society of Petroleum Engineers - SPE Kuwait Oil and Gas Show and Conference, KOGS*. 2019.
- [3] Kireeva TA. Cationic exchange between injected water and rock as a scaling factor in oil fields development (Russian). *Oil Industry Journal*. 2019 Sep 1;2019(09):86-9.
- [4] Galimov IF, Gubaidullin FA, Vakhin AV, Isaev PV. Analyzing effectiveness of the terrigenous reservoirs hydrofracturing at South-Romashkinskaya area of Romashkinskoe oil field at the late stage of development (Russian). *Oil Industry Journal*. 2018 Jan 1;2018(01):52-4.
- [5] Serdyuk A, Valeev S, Frolenkov A, Lushnikov A, Overin A, Yudin A, Kuznetsov A, Gorlushko A. Improving Economics of Hard-to-Recover Reserves Development. Case Study of Achimov Formation at Prirazlomnoe Oil Field. In *SPE Russian Petroleum Technology Conference 2018 Oct 15*. Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- [6] Khisamov RS, Miyassarov AS, Khuzin RR, Salikhov DA, Andreev VE. Improvement Of The Development Efficiency Of Reserves Difficult To Recover Using Horizontal And Multibranch Wells On The Example Of Nekrasovsky Field Developed By Carbon-Oil Llc. *Geosursy*. 2017 Jan 1;19(3):204-8.
- [7] Tomashev DV, Nelepov MV, Papotnaya AA. The Influence of Planetary Geodynamics on the Success of Geological and Technical Measures for the Development of Oil and Gas Fields. In *GeoBaikal 2018 2018 Aug 11 (Vol. 2018, No. 1, pp. 1-4)*. European Association of Geoscientists & Engineers.
- [8] Ramazanov RR, Kharlamov KA, Letko II, Martsenyuk RA. Efficiency analysis of geological and technical measures (Russian). *Oil Industry Journal*. 2019 Jun 1;2019(06):62-5.
- [9] Bukhanov DA, Kramar OV. PDGGM is as a tool of raising the quality of planning the geological and technical measures (the application experience on the object UV1 Nong-Yeganskoe oil-field). In *16th Science and Applied Research Conference on Oil and Gas Geological Exploration and Development, GEOMODEL 2014 2014*.
- [10] Anikin IV, Zinoviev IP. Fuzzy control based on new type of Takagi-Sugeno fuzzy inference system. In *2015 International Siberian Conference on Control and Communications (SIBCON) 2015 May 21 (pp. 1-4)*. IEEE.
- [11] Anikin I, Zinoviev I. New type of takagi-sugeno fuzzy inference system as universal approximator. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*. 2014 Aug 8;598:453-8.
- [12] Gluhih IN, Piankov VN, Zabolotnov AR. Situational models in corporative knowledge base on geological-technical measures know-how. *NEFTYANOE KHOZYAISTVO*. 2002 Jun 1(6):45-8.
- [13] Alekseev A, Katasev A, Kirillov A, Khassianov A, Zuev D. Prototype of Classifier for the Decision Support System of Legal Documents. *CEUR Workshop Proceedings*. 2020; 2543:328-335.
- [14] Alekseev AA, Zuev DS, Katasev AS, Tutubalina EV, Khassianov AF. Intellectual information decision support system in the field of economic justice. *Nauchnyy servis v seti Internet: trudy XIX Vserossiyskoy nauchnoy konferentsii (17-22 sentyabrya 2018 g., g. Novorossiysk)*, Moscow, Keldysh Institute of Applied Mathematics. 2018.
- [15] Baghapour MA, Shoostarian MR, Zarghami M. Process Mining Approach of a New Water Quality Index for Long-Term Assessment under Uncertainty Using Consensus-Based Fuzzy Decision Support System. *Water Resources Management*. 2020 Feb;34(3):1155-72.
- [16] Katasev AS, Kataseva DV. Expert diagnostic system of water pipes gusts in reservoir pressure maintenance processes. In *2016 2nd International Conference on Industrial Engineering, Applications and Manufacturing (ICIEAM) 2016 May 19 (pp. 1-5)*. IEEE.
- [17] Muslimov R, Plotnikova I. Modeling the development of oil fields, considering the mature fields reforming and refill by the deep hydrocarbons. *Neftyanoe Khozyaystvo - Oil Industry*. 2019;3:56-60.
- [18] Muslimov RK, Plotnikova IN. Consideration of the processes of oil deposit reformation during long-term operation and deep feeding in modeling the development of oil fields. *Георесурсы*. 2018;20(3 (eng)):186-192.
- [19] Altunin AE, Gordeev AO, Zemtsov YV, Zimin PV, Semukhin MV. Development of an automated matching algorithms geological and technical measures and criteria Well-ranking candidates on the basis of fuzzy sets (Russian). *Oil Industry Journal*. 2016 Sep 1;2016(09):94-9.
- [20] Caumon G. Geological objects and physical parameter fields in the subsurface: a review. In *Handbook of Mathematical Geosciences 2018 (pp. 567-588)*. Springer, Cham.
- [21] Kobrunov AI, Kuleshov VE, Mogutov AS, Dorogobed AN. Prediction of hydrocarbon deposit physical and geological commercial parameters under conditions of data uncertainty. *Neftyanoe khozyaystvo - Oil Industry*. 2014;7: 78-80.
- [22] Perfilieva IG, Yarushkina NG, Afanasieva TV, Romanov AA. Web-based system for enterprise performance analysis on the basis of time series data mining. In *Proceedings of the First International Scientific Conference "Intelligent Information Technologies for Industry" (IITI'16) 2016 (pp. 75-86)*. Springer, Cham.

- [23] Katasev AS. Neuro-fuzzy model of fuzzy rules formation for objects state evaluation in conditions of uncertainty. *Computer research and modeling*. 2019;11(3):477-92.
- [24] Ha SH. Applying knowledge engineering techniques to customer analysis in the service industry. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*. 2007 Jul 1;21(3):293-301.
- [25] Neaga EI. Semantics enhancing knowledge discovery and ontology engineering using mining techniques: A crossover review. In *Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining: Challenges and Realities 2007* (pp. 163-188). IGI Global.
- [26] Uddagiri C, Khare N. Introduction to Concept Modifiers in Fuzzy Soft Sets for Efficient Query Processing. In *Emerging Research in Data Engineering Systems and Computer Communications 2020* (pp. 669-676). Springer, Singapore.
- [27] Bouchon-Meunier B, Marsala C. Fuzzy modifiers at the core of interpretable fuzzy systems. In *Fifty Years of Fuzzy Logic and its Applications 2015* (pp. 51-63). Springer, Cham.
- [28] Chen CL, Chen SC, Kuo YH. The reduction of interval type-2 LR fuzzy sets. *IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems*. 2013 Aug 8;22(4):840-58.
- [29] Amirah AF, Isa Z. Generating project risk membership functions based on experts' estimates and alpha-cut variations. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series 2020 Mar 1* (Vol. 1489, No. 1, p. 012018). IOP Publishing.
- [30] Dagaeva M, Garaeva A, Anikin I, Makhmutova A, Minnikhanov R. Big spatio-temporal data mining for emergency management information systems. *IET Intelligent Transport Systems*. 2019 Sep 5;13(11):1649-57.
- [31] Chupin MM, Katasev AS, Akhmetvaleev AM, Kataseva DV. Neuro-Fuzzy Model in Supply Chain Management for Objects State Assessing. *Int. J Sup. Chain. Mgt Vol*. 2019 Oct;8(5):201-208.
- [32] Shushura O, Asieieva L, Husyeva I, Stepanov M, Datsiuk O. Construction of membership functions in fuzzy modeling tasks using the analytic hierarchy process. *International Journal of Advanced Trends in Computer Science and Engineering*. 2020; 9(3):. 2702-2707.
- [33] Baron L, Achiche S, Balazinski M. Fuzzy decision support system knowledge base generation using a genetic algorithm. *International Journal of Approximate Reasoning*. 2001;28(2-3):125-148.