

Continuity of Operator Functions in the Topology of Local Convergence in Measure

A. M. Bikchentaev^a and O. E. Tikhonov^a

Received June 20, 2023; revised October 5, 2023; accepted October 6, 2023

*Dedicated to Academician A. S. Holevo
on the occasion of his 80th birthday*

Abstract—Let a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} of operators act on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and let τ be a faithful normal semifinite trace on \mathcal{M} . Let $t_{\tau 1}$ be the topology of τ -local convergence in measure on the $*$ -algebra $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ of all τ -measurable operators. We prove the $t_{\tau 1}$ -continuity of the involution on the set of all normal operators in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, investigate the $t_{\tau 1}$ -continuity of operator functions on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, and show that the map $A \mapsto |A|$ is $t_{\tau 1}$ -continuous on the set of all partial isometries in \mathcal{M} .

Keywords—Hilbert space, linear operator, von Neumann algebra, normal trace, measurable operator, local convergence in measure, continuity of operator functions.

MSC: 46L10, 46L51, 46L52

DOI: 10.1134/S008154382401005X

1. INTRODUCTION

Let a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} of operators act on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and let τ be a faithful normal semifinite trace on \mathcal{M} . This paper continues the research initiated in [1, 3–8, 10, 11, 15, 16] into the properties of the topologies $t_{\tau 1}$ and $t_{w\tau 1}$ of τ -local and weakly τ -local convergence in measure, respectively, on the $*$ -algebra $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ of all τ -measurable operators. We prove the $t_{\tau 1}$ -continuity of the involution on the subset of all normal operators in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (Theorem 4.8), study the $t_{\tau 1}$ -continuity of operator functions on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (Theorem 4.18) using some ideas and methods from [9, 13], and show that the map $A \mapsto |A|$ is $t_{\tau 1}$ -continuous on the subset of all partial isometries in the algebra \mathcal{M} (Corollary 4.3).

Note that the continuity of operator functions in the topology t_{τ} of convergence in measure on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ was studied by the second author in [19], and on algebras of locally measurable operators, by M. A. Muratov and V. I. Chilin in [14]. Some of our results are new even for the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} equipped with the canonical trace $\tau = \text{tr}$.

2. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

Let \mathcal{M} be a von Neumann algebra of operators in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{M}^{pr} the lattice of ortho-projections ($P = P^2 = P^*$) in \mathcal{M} , I the identity in \mathcal{M} , $P^{\perp} = I - P$ for $P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$, and \mathcal{M}^+ the cone of positive elements in \mathcal{M} .

A map $\varphi: \mathcal{M}^+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is called a *trace* if $\varphi(X + Y) = \varphi(X) + \varphi(Y)$ and $\varphi(\lambda X) = \lambda\varphi(X)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}^+$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ (with $0 \cdot (+\infty) \equiv 0$) and in addition $\varphi(Z^*Z) = \varphi(ZZ^*)$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{M}$.

^a N. I. Lobachevsky Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Kazan Federal University, Kremlevskaya ul. 35, Kazan, 420008 Russia.

✉ Airat.Bikchentaev@kpfu.ru (A. M. Bikchentaev), Oleg.Tikhonov@kpfu.ru (O. E. Tikhonov).

A trace φ is said to be

- *faithful* if $\varphi(X) > 0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{M}^+$, $X \neq 0$;
- *semifinite* if $\varphi(X) = \sup\{\varphi(Y) : Y \in \mathcal{M}^+, Y \leq X, \varphi(Y) < +\infty\}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{M}^+$;
- *normal* if whenever $X_i \nearrow X$ ($X_i, X \in \mathcal{M}^+$), one has $\varphi(X) = \sup \varphi(X_i)$

(see [17, Ch. V, § 2]).

An operator on \mathcal{H} (not necessarily bounded or densely defined) is said to be *affiliated with the von Neumann algebra* \mathcal{M} if it commutes with every unitary operator in the commutant \mathcal{M}' of \mathcal{M} . In what follows, τ is a faithful normal semifinite trace on \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}} = \{P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}} : \tau(P) < \infty\}$.

A closed densely defined operator X affiliated with \mathcal{M} , with domain $\mathcal{D}(X)$ in \mathcal{H} , is said to be τ -*measurable* if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$ such that $P\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{D}(X)$ and $\tau(P^\perp) < \varepsilon$. The set $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ of all τ -measurable operators is a $*$ -algebra with respect to taking the adjoint operator, multiplication by scalars, and the operations of strong addition and multiplication obtained by closing the ordinary operations [18, Ch. IX]. Let $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{nor}}$ be the set of all normal ($A^*A = AA^*$) operators in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. For a family $\mathcal{L} \subset S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ we denote by \mathcal{L}^+ and \mathcal{L}^{h} its positive and Hermitian parts, respectively. The partial order in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{h}}$ generated by the proper cone $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^+$ will be denoted by \leq . If $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $X = U|X|$ is the polar decomposition of X , then $U \in \mathcal{M}$ and $|X| = \sqrt{X^*X} \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^+$. For operators $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ we will also use the notation

$$\operatorname{Re} A = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Im} A = \frac{1}{2i}(A - A^*).$$

The $*$ -algebra $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is equipped with the topology t_τ of convergence in measure [18, Ch. IX, § 2], for which a fundamental system of neighborhoods of zero is formed by the sets

$$\mathcal{U}(\varepsilon, \delta) = \{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \exists Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}} (\|XQ\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } \tau(Q^\perp) \leq \delta)\}, \quad \varepsilon > 0, \quad \delta > 0.$$

The algebra $\langle S(\mathcal{M}, \tau), t_\tau \rangle$ is known to be a complete metrizable topological $*$ -algebra, and the algebra \mathcal{M} is dense in $\langle S(\mathcal{M}, \tau), t_\tau \rangle$. To denote the convergence of a net $\{X_j\}_{j \in J} \subset S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ to an operator $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ in the topology t_τ , we write $X_j \xrightarrow{t_\tau} X$; in this case $\{X_j\}_{j \in J}$ is said to converge to X in measure τ .

Let $\mu(X; t)$ denote the *singular value function* of an operator $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, i.e., the nonincreasing right continuous function $\mu(X; \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ defined as

$$\mu(X; t) = \inf\{\|XP\| : P \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}, \tau(P^\perp) \leq t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

The set $S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) = \{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(X; t) = 0\}$ of τ -compact operators is an ideal in the $*$ -algebra $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. The topology t_τ is also generated by the F -norm $\rho_\tau(X) = \inf_{t > 0} \max\{t, \mu(X; t)\}$ for $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Lemma 2.1 [12]. *Let $X, Y, X_j \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, $j \in J$. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) $\mu(X; t) = \mu(|X|; t) = \mu(X^*; t)$ for all $t > 0$;
- (ii) $\mu(X^*X; t) = \mu(XX^*; t)$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iii) if $|X| \leq |Y|$, then $\mu(X; t) \leq \mu(Y; t)$ for all $t > 0$;
- (iv) if $X \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \mu(X; t) = \sup_{t > 0} \mu(X; t) = \|X\|$;
- (v) $\mu(XY; t + s) \leq \mu(X; t)\mu(Y; s)$ for all $t, s > 0$;
- (vi) $\mu(X + Y; t + s) \leq \mu(X; t) + \mu(Y; s)$ for all $t, s > 0$;
- (vii) $\mu(|X|^\alpha; t) = \mu(X; t)^\alpha$ for all $\alpha > 0$ and $t > 0$;
- (viii) $X_j \xrightarrow{t_\tau} X$ if and only if $\mu(X_j - X; t) \rightarrow 0$ for every $t > 0$;
- (ix) if $A, Z \in \mathcal{M}$, then $\mu(AYZ; t) \leq \|A\|\mu(Y; t)\|Z\|$ for all $t > 0$.

3. TOPOLOGIES OF LOCAL CONVERGENCE IN MEASURE ON $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$

The topology t_τ of convergence in measure can be localized as follows. For $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$ we define the sets

$$\mathcal{V}(\varepsilon, \delta, P) = \{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \exists Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}} (Q \leq P, \|XQ\| \leq \varepsilon, \tau(P - Q) \leq \delta)\},$$

$$\mathcal{W}(\varepsilon, \delta, P) = \{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \exists Q \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}} (Q \leq P, \|QXQ\| \leq \varepsilon, \tau(P - Q) \leq \delta)\}.$$

The space $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ becomes a topological vector space with respect to the topology t_{τ_1} of τ -local convergence in measure, with a basis of neighborhoods of zero given by the family $\Theta = \{\mathcal{V}(\varepsilon, \delta, P)\}_{\varepsilon, \delta > 0, P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}}$, as well as with respect to the topology $t_{w\tau_1}$ of weak τ -local convergence in measure, with a basis of neighborhoods of zero given by the family $\Theta = \{\mathcal{W}(\varepsilon, \delta, P)\}_{\varepsilon, \delta > 0, P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}}$. We will write $X_i \xrightarrow{\tau_1} X$ and $X_i \xrightarrow{w\tau_1} X$ to denote the t_{τ_1} - and $t_{w\tau_1}$ -convergence, respectively. Using the standard technique of reducing von Neumann algebras, one can show (see also [11, 16]) that $X_i \xrightarrow{\tau_1} X$ if and only if $X_i P \xrightarrow{\tau} X P$ for all $P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$ (cf. [8, p. 114]), and that $X_i \xrightarrow{w\tau_1} X$ if and only if $P X_i P \xrightarrow{\tau} P X P$ for all $P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$ (cf. [8, p. 114] and [10, p. 746]). It is clear that $t_{w\tau_1} \leq t_{\tau_1} \leq t_\tau$ and the $t_{w\tau_1}$ -convergence coincides with the convergence in measure with respect to $\langle S(PMP) = PS(\mathcal{M}, \tau)P, t_{\tau_P} \rangle$ for all $P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$, where $\tau_P(X) = \tau(PXP)$. The topologies t_{τ_1} and $t_{w\tau_1}$ can also be defined in terms of nonincreasing rearrangements. The family $\tilde{\Theta} = \{\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\varepsilon, \delta, P)\}_{\varepsilon, \delta > 0, P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}}$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\varepsilon, \delta, P) = \{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau) : \mu(XP; \delta) < \varepsilon\}$ also defines a basis of neighborhoods of zero for t_{τ_1} . If $\tau(I) < \infty$, then $t_\tau = t_{\tau_1} = t_{w\tau_1}$; note that t_τ is the minimal metrizable topology consistent with the ring structure in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (see [2]).

If \mathcal{M} is the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} and $\tau = \text{tr}$ is the canonical trace, then $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ coincide with $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and with the ideal $\mathfrak{S}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$ of compact operators on \mathcal{H} , respectively. The topology t_τ coincides with the norm topology generated by the norm $\|\cdot\|$, and t_{τ_1} and $t_{w\tau_1}$ coincide with the topologies of strong and weak operator convergence, respectively. We have $\mu(X; t) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty s_n(X) \chi_{[n-1, n)}(t)$, $t > 0$, where $\{s_n(X)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is the sequence of s -numbers of a completely continuous operator X and χ_A is the indicator of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$.

If \mathcal{M} is abelian (i.e., commutative), then $\mathcal{M} \simeq L^\infty(\Omega, \Sigma, \nu)$ and $\tau(f) = \int_\Omega f d\nu$, where (Ω, Σ, ν) is a localizable measure space, and the algebra $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ coincides with the algebra of all measurable complex functions f on (Ω, Σ, ν) that are bounded everywhere except for sets of finite measure. In this case, the topology t_τ is the ordinary topology of convergence in measure, and $t_{\tau_1} = t_{w\tau_1}$ coincide with the well-known topology of convergence in measure on sets of finite measure.

4. ON THE CONTINUITY OF OPERATOR FUNCTIONS

Lemma 4.1 [1, Theorem 1, part 1]. *Let a net $\{A_\alpha\} \subset S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ converge in the topology t_{τ_1} to an operator $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then $A_\alpha B \xrightarrow{\tau_1} AB$ for any $B \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.*

Using the definitions of t_{τ_1} - and $t_{w\tau_1}$ -convergence and the t_τ -continuity of the involution and product in the algebra $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we easily obtain the following.

Proposition 4.2. *If $A, A_\alpha \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $A_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau_1} A$, then $|A_\alpha|^2 \xrightarrow{w\tau_1} |A|^2$.*

Note that by Lemma 3.1(i) in [3] the map $A \mapsto |A|$ ($A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$) is t_{τ_1} -continuous at the point $A = 0$.

Corollary 4.3. *If operators $A, A_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}$ are partial isometries and $A_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau_1} A$, then $|A_\alpha| \xrightarrow{\tau_1} |A|$.*

Proof. Since $|A_\alpha|, |A| \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{pr}}$, we have $|A_\alpha| = |A_\alpha|^2 \xrightarrow{w\tau_1} |A|^2 = |A|$, i.e., $|A_\alpha| \xrightarrow{w\tau_1} |A|$. Now by Lemma 3.7(i) in [3] we obtain $|A_\alpha| \xrightarrow{\tau_1} |A|$. \square

Corollary 4.4. *If $A_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_r := \{X \in \mathcal{M} : \|X\| \leq r\}$, $r > 0$, and $A_\alpha \xrightarrow{w\tau_1} A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $A \in \mathcal{M}_r$.*

Proof. Suppose that $A \notin \mathcal{M}_r$, i.e., $r < \|A\| \leq +\infty$. Since $\|A^*A\| = \|A\|^2$, we have $r^2 < \|A^*A\| \leq +\infty$. There exists a spectral orthoprojection Q of the operator A^*A and a number $a > r^2$ such that

$$A^*A \geq aQ. \tag{4.1}$$

Since the trace τ is semifinite, there exists a nonzero orthoprojection $P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$ such that $P \leq Q$. Then from (4.1) we obtain $PA^*AP \geq aP$. Since $\|A_\alpha^*A_\alpha\| \leq r^2$, we have $PA_\alpha^*A_\alpha P \leq r^2P$ and

$$PA^*AP - PA_\alpha^*A_\alpha P \geq (a - r^2)P.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1(ii),

$$\mu(PA^*AP - PA_\alpha^*A_\alpha P; t) \geq (a - r^2)\mu(P; t) = (a - r^2)\chi_{(0, \tau(P)]}(t).$$

Consequently, from Lemma 2.1(viii) we have $PA_\alpha^*A_\alpha P \xrightarrow{\tau} PA^*AP$. Thus, we have arrived at a contradiction. \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let $A, A_n \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, $A_n \xrightarrow{w\tau 1} A$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and the sequence $\{A_n\}$ be t_τ -bounded. Then $BA_n \xrightarrow{\tau 1} BA$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every operator $B \in S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $BA_n C \xrightarrow{\tau} BAC$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every pair of operators $B, C \in S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.*

Proof. Let $X, X_n \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then

$$X_n \xrightarrow{w\tau 1} X \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \iff PX_n Q \xrightarrow{\tau} PXQ \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall P, Q \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$$

(see [1, p. 20]). Since $X_n \xrightarrow{w\tau 1} X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $X_n^* \xrightarrow{w\tau 1} X^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $PA_n^* \xrightarrow{\tau 1} PA^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$. Now, by [1, Theorem 2] we get

$$PA_n^* B^* \xrightarrow{\tau} PA^* B^* \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}, \quad \forall B \in S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \tag{4.2}$$

(recall that $B^* \in S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$). Passing to the adjoint operators in (4.2) and taking into account the t_τ -continuity of the involution in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we have $BA_n P \xrightarrow{\tau} BAP$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since the orthoprojection $P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$ is arbitrary, we obtain $BA_n \xrightarrow{\tau 1} BA$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Applying [1, Theorem 2] once again, we conclude that $BA_n C \xrightarrow{\tau} BAC$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every pair of operators $B, C \in S_0(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let $A, A_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $A_n \rightarrow A$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the weak operator topology, and the sequence $\{A_n\}$ be $\|\cdot\|$ -bounded. Then $BA_n \rightarrow BA$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the strong operator topology for every operator $B \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$, and $\|B(A_n - A)C\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every pair of operators $B, C \in \mathfrak{S}_\infty(\mathcal{H})$.*

Example 4.7. The condition that the sequence $\{A_n\}$ is t_τ -bounded is essential in Theorem 4.5. In the abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} \simeq L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+, d\nu)$ with linear Lebesgue measure ν , consider the faithful normal semifinite trace $\tau(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} f d\nu$ and set

$$f_n = n\chi_{[n, 2n]}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $f_n \xrightarrow{\tau 1} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and for τ -compact g and h , $g = h$, given by the function $\min\{1, x^{-1/2}\}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have $\mu(gf_n h; t) \geq \chi_{(0, n]}(t)/2 \not\xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $t > 0$. Therefore, $gf_n h \not\xrightarrow{\tau} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 2.1(viii).

Theorem 4.8. *If $A, A_\alpha \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{nor}}$ and $A_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau 1} A$, then $A_\alpha^* \xrightarrow{\tau 1} A^*$.*

Proof. *Step 1.* We have $PA_\alpha^* \xrightarrow{\tau} PA^*$ for every $P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$ by the t_τ -continuity of the involution in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Therefore, by the t_τ -continuity of the product in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we obtain

$$PA_\alpha \cdot A_\alpha^* P = PA_\alpha^* \cdot A_\alpha P \xrightarrow{\tau} PA^* \cdot AP = PA \cdot A^* P$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$. Thus, $\mu(PA_\alpha A_\alpha^* P - PA A^* P; t) \rightarrow 0$ for every $t > 0$ by Lemma 2.1(viii).

Step 2. For every $P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$ and $t > 0$ we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(A_\alpha^*P - A^*P; t)^2 &= \mu(|A_\alpha^*P - A^*P|; t)^2 = \mu(|A_\alpha^*P - A^*P|^2; t) \\
&= \mu((PA_\alpha - PA)(A_\alpha^*P - A^*P); t) \\
&= \mu(PA_\alpha A_\alpha^*P + PAA^*P - PA_\alpha A^*P - PAA_\alpha^*P; t) \\
&= \mu(PA_\alpha^* A_\alpha P + PA^*AP - 2\operatorname{Re}(PA_\alpha A^*P); t) \\
&= \mu(PA_\alpha^* A_\alpha P + PA^*AP - 2\operatorname{Re}(PA^*AP) - 2\operatorname{Re}(PA_\alpha A^*P - PA^*AP); t) \\
&= \mu(PA_\alpha^* A_\alpha P - PA^*AP - 2\operatorname{Re}(PA_\alpha A^*P - PA^*AP); t) \\
&\leq \mu\left(PA_\alpha^* A_\alpha P - PA^*AP; \frac{t}{2}\right) + 2\mu\left(\operatorname{Re}(PA_\alpha A^*P - PA^*AP); \frac{t}{2}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.1(vi), (vii). According to step 1 we have

$$\mu\left(PA_\alpha^* A_\alpha P - PA^*AP; \frac{t}{2}\right) \rightarrow 0$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$ and $t > 0$. Let us estimate the second term in the last inequality in (4.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
2\mu\left(\operatorname{Re}(PA_\alpha A^*P - PA^*AP); \frac{t}{2}\right) &= 2\mu\left(P(\operatorname{Re}(A_\alpha A^* - A^*A)P); \frac{t}{2}\right) \\
&= \mu\left(P(A_\alpha - A)A^*P + PA(A_\alpha^* - A^*)P; \frac{t}{2}\right) \\
&\leq \mu\left(P(A_\alpha - A)A^*P; \frac{t}{4}\right) + \mu\left(PA(A_\alpha^* - A^*)P; \frac{t}{4}\right) \\
&\leq \|P\| \mu\left((A_\alpha - A)A^*P; \frac{t}{4}\right) + \|P\| \mu\left(PA(A_\alpha^* - A^*); \frac{t}{4}\right) \\
&= \mu\left((A_\alpha - A)A^*P; \frac{t}{4}\right) + \mu\left((PA(A_\alpha^* - A^*))^*; \frac{t}{4}\right) \\
&= 2\mu\left((A_\alpha - A)A^*P; \frac{t}{4}\right) \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.1(vi), (ix), (viii) and the t_τ -continuity of multiplication by the operator A^* on the left (see Lemma 4.1). Thus, $\mu(A_\alpha^*P - A^*P; t) \rightarrow 0$ for arbitrary $t > 0$ and $P \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

For an operator $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, let $R_\lambda(A)$ denote its resolvent.

Lemma 4.9. *If a net $\{A_\alpha\}$ in the $*$ -algebra $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^h$ converges to A in the topology $t_{\tau 1}$, then $R_\lambda(A_\alpha) \xrightarrow{t_1} R_\lambda(A)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. As is well known,

$$R_\lambda(A) - R_\lambda(A_\alpha) = R_\lambda(A_\alpha)(A_\alpha - A)R_\lambda(A).$$

Take a $Q \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$. Since $A_\alpha - A \xrightarrow{t_1} 0$, we have $(A_\alpha - A)R_\lambda(A) \xrightarrow{t_1} 0$ by Lemma 4.1. Therefore, $(A_\alpha - A)R_\lambda(A)Q \xrightarrow{t} 0$, i.e., $\mu((A_\alpha - A)R_\lambda(A)Q; s) \rightarrow 0$ for any $s > 0$. Now, using

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(R_\lambda(A_\alpha)(A_\alpha - A)R_\lambda(A)Q; s) &\leq \|R_\lambda(A_\alpha)\| \mu((A_\alpha - A)R_\lambda(A)Q; s) \\
&\leq |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|^{-1} \mu((A_\alpha - A)R_\lambda(A)Q; s),
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain $\mu(R_\lambda(A_\alpha)(A_\alpha - A)R_\lambda(A)Q; s) \rightarrow 0$.

Thus, $(R_\lambda(A) - R_\lambda(A_\alpha))Q \xrightarrow{t} 0$ for every $Q \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pr}}$; i.e., $R_\lambda(A_\alpha) \xrightarrow{t_1} R_\lambda(A)$. \square

Lemma 4.10. *Let f and g be two continuous functions from \mathbb{R} (or \mathbb{C}) to \mathbb{C} , and let g be bounded. If the operator functions f and g are t_{τ_1} -continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^h$ (on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{nor}}$), then the operator function fg is also t_{τ_1} -continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^h$ (on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{nor}}$, respectively).*

Proof. Let $A_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau_1} A$. We can write

$$(gf)(A) - (gf)(A_\alpha) = (g(A) - g(A_\alpha))f(A) + g(A_\alpha)(f(A) - f(A_\alpha)).$$

Lemma 4.1 implies that $(g(A) - g(A_\alpha))f(A) \xrightarrow{\tau_1} 0$. Using the estimate

$$\mu(g(A_\alpha)(f(A) - f(A_\alpha))Q; s) \leq |g| \mu((f(A) - f(A_\alpha))Q; s)$$

for $Q \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pf}}$, we find that $g(A_\alpha)(f(A) - f(A_\alpha)) \xrightarrow{\tau_1} 0$. Thus, the operator function gf is t_{τ_1} -continuous. \square

Lemma 4.11. *Let a sequence (f_n) of continuous functions acting from \mathbb{R} (or \mathbb{C}) to \mathbb{C} converge to a function f uniformly on \mathbb{R} (on \mathbb{C} , respectively). If the operator functions f_n are t_{τ_1} -continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^h$ (on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{nor}}$), then the operator function f is also t_{τ_1} -continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^h$ (on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{nor}}$, respectively).*

Proof. We will give a proof for functions on \mathbb{C} .

Take an $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose n_0 such that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{C}} |f(x) - f_{n_0}(x)| \leq \varepsilon/3$. Let $A_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau_1} A$ and $Q \in \mathcal{M}_\tau^{\text{pf}}$. For $s > 0$, by Lemma 2.1(iv)–(vi), (ix) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu((f(A) - f(A_\alpha))Q; s) \\ &= \mu\left((f(A) - f_{n_0}(A))Q + (f_{n_0}(A) - f_{n_0}(A_\alpha))Q + (f_{n_0}(A_\alpha) - f(A_\alpha))Q; s\right) \\ &\leq \mu\left((f - f_{n_0})(A)Q; \frac{s}{3}\right) + \mu\left((f_{n_0}(A) - f_{n_0}(A_\alpha))Q; \frac{s}{3}\right) + \mu\left((f - f_{n_0})(A_\alpha)Q; \frac{s}{3}\right) \\ &\leq \|(f - f_{n_0})(A)Q\| + \mu\left((f_{n_0}(A) - f_{n_0}(A_\alpha))Q; \frac{s}{3}\right) + \|(f - f_{n_0})(A_\alpha)Q\| \\ &\leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{3} + \mu\left((f_{n_0}(A) - f_{n_0}(A_\alpha))Q; \frac{s}{3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the operator function f_{n_0} is t_{τ_1} -continuous, the second term in the last expression does not exceed $\varepsilon/3$ for sufficiently large values of α . \square

Proposition 4.12. *Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function on \mathbb{R} with $f(x) = O(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then the operator function f is t_{τ_1} -continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^h$.*

Proof. Let us first consider the case where $f(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. If $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ are real polynomials such that the degree of $p(x)$ is less than the degree of $q(x)$ and $q(x)$ has no real roots, then the rational function $r(x) = p(x)/q(x)$ can be represented as a finite linear combination of functions of the form $(x - \lambda)^{-n}$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$). By Lemmas 4.9 and 4.10, we conclude that the operator function r is t_{τ_1} -continuous. By Stone's theorem, $f(x)$ can be uniformly approximated on \mathbb{R} by a sequence of rational functions $r(x)$ of the form considered above, and then Lemma 4.11 implies the t_{τ_1} -continuity of the operator function f .

Now we turn to the general case. Let us represent f in the form

$$f(x) = f(x) \frac{1}{1+x^2} + f(x) \frac{x^2}{1+x^2}.$$

Denote the first term by $f_1(x)$ and the second by $f_2(x)$. Then $f_1(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$; therefore, the operator function f_1 is t_{τ_1} -continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^h$. Successive application of Lemma 4.10 to the functions $xf_1(x)$ and $x(xf_1(x))$ shows that the operator function f_2 is t_{τ_1} -continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^h$. \square

Lemma 4.13. *The maps $A \mapsto \text{Re } A$ and $A \mapsto \text{Im } A$ are t_{τ_1} -continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\text{nor}}$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.8. \square

Lemma 4.14. *The map $A \mapsto I + |\operatorname{Re} A| + |\operatorname{Im} A|$ is t_{τ_1} -continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\operatorname{nor}}$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 4.13 and Proposition 4.12. \square

Proposition 4.15. *Let a continuous function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be $O(|z|)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Then the corresponding operator function f is t_{τ_1} -continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\operatorname{nor}}$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be the algebra of \mathbb{R} -valued functions on \mathbb{C} generated by functions of the form $f(\operatorname{Re} z)$ and $g(\operatorname{Im} z)$ for continuous \mathbb{R} -valued functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ on \mathbb{R} that tend to zero as $x \rightarrow \infty$. By Stone's theorem, the algebra \mathcal{A} is uniformly dense in the algebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ of all continuous functions from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{R} that tend to zero at infinity. From Lemma 4.13, Proposition 4.12, and Lemma 4.10, it follows that the operator functions corresponding to the functions in \mathcal{A} are t_{τ_1} -continuous, and by Lemma 4.11 the same holds for the functions in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$.

Now let a continuous function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $O(|z|)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. We can write

$$f(z) = (1 + |\operatorname{Re} z| + |\operatorname{Im} z|)^2 \frac{f(z)}{(1 + |\operatorname{Re} z| + |\operatorname{Im} z|)^2}$$

and apply Lemmas 4.14 and 4.10 twice.

Finally, let a continuous function $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be $O(|z|)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Then the functions $\operatorname{Re} f(z)$ and $\operatorname{Im} f(z)$ act continuously from \mathbb{C} to \mathbb{R} and are $O(|z|)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. The corresponding operator functions $\operatorname{Re} f(A)$ and $\operatorname{Im} f(A)$ are t_{τ_1} -continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\operatorname{nor}}$, and hence the operator function $f(A) = \operatorname{Re} f(A) + i \operatorname{Im} f(A)$ is t_{τ_1} -continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\operatorname{nor}}$. \square

Corollary 4.16. *The map $A \mapsto |A|$ is t_{τ_1} -continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\operatorname{nor}}$.*

The following result is a corollary to [3, Lemma 3.4].

Lemma 4.17. *Let $\{A_\alpha\}$ and $\{B_\alpha\}$ be two nets in \mathcal{M}^+ such that $\{A_\alpha\}$ is uniformly bounded, $A_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau_1} 0$, and $B_\alpha \leq A_\alpha$ for all α . Then $B_\alpha \xrightarrow{\tau_1} 0$.*

Next, for $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ we set $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_{\Omega}^{\operatorname{nor}} = \{A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\operatorname{nor}} : \operatorname{Sp}(A) \subset \Omega\}$, where $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$ is the spectrum of the operator A .

Theorem 4.18. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_{\Omega}^{\operatorname{nor}}$. Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function such that its restriction to any bounded subset of Ω that is closed in \mathbb{C} is Borel measurable, $\sup\{|f(z)|/(1 + |z|) : z \in \Omega\} < \infty$, and f is continuous at every point in $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$. If a net $\{A_\alpha\}$ of operators in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_{\Omega}^{\operatorname{nor}}$ converges to A in the topology t_{τ_1} , then $f(A_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\tau_1} f(A)$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the theorem for a real-valued function f , and we will do this in two stages.

1. Suppose that f is bounded on Ω , say $|f| \leq 1$, and is continuous at all points in $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$. By the Tietze–Urysohn theorem, there exists a continuous function $g: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ that coincides with f on $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$. By Proposition 4.15,

$$g(A_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\tau_1} g(A) = f(A).$$

According to [20, Lemma 2], there exists a bounded continuous function h on Ω such that $h = 0$ on $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$ and $|f - g| \leq h$ on Ω .

1a. Now assume additionally that $\Omega = \mathbb{C}$. Then $h(A_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\tau_1} h(A) = 0$ by Proposition 4.15. Since $0 \leq (f - g + h)(A_\alpha) \leq 2h(A_\alpha)$, we have $(f - g + h)(A_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\tau_1} 0$ by Lemma 4.17. Hence,

$$f(A_\alpha) = (f - g + h)(A_\alpha) + g(A_\alpha) - h(A_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\tau_1} f(A).$$

1b. If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$, then according to [20, Lemma 1] we construct a bounded function $k: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that extends h , is continuous at all points in Ω , and is upper semicontinuous on \mathbb{C} (so it is Borel

measurable). Using case 1a, we obtain

$$h(A_\alpha) = k(A_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\tau_1} k(A) = h(A) = 0,$$

and it remains to repeat verbatim the last two sentences of the previous paragraph.

2. In the general case, we set $g(z) = f(z)/(1 + |z|)$. Then $g(A_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\tau_1} g(A)$ by the above and $I + |A_\alpha| \xrightarrow{\tau_1} I + |A|$ by Proposition 4.15. Hence by [1, Theorem 3] we obtain

$$f(A_\alpha) = g(A_\alpha)(I + |A_\alpha|) \xrightarrow{\tau_1} g(A)(I + |A|) = f(A). \quad \square$$

Corollary 4.19. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function on Ω such that $\sup\{|f(z)|/(1 + |z|): z \in \Omega\} < \infty$. Then the corresponding operator function is continuous on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)_{\Omega}^{\text{nor}}$ in the topology t_{τ_1} .*

FUNDING


This work was supported within the Strategic Academic Leadership Program of the Kazan Federal University “Priority-2030.”

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors of this work declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. A. M. Bikchentaev, “The continuity of multiplication for two topologies associated with a semifinite trace on von Neumann algebra,” *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **14**, 17–24 (2004).
2. A. M. Bikchentaev, “Minimality of convergence in measure topologies on finite von Neumann algebras,” *Math. Notes* **75** (3), 315–321 (2004) [transl. from *Mat. Zametki* **75** (3), 342–349 (2004)]. [doi](#)
3. A. M. Bikchentaev, “Local convergence in measure on semifinite von Neumann algebras,” *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **255**, 35–48 (2006) [transl. from *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova* **255**, 41–54 (2006)]. [doi](#)
4. A. M. Bikchentaev, “Local convergence in measure on semifinite von Neumann algebras. II,” *Math. Notes* **82** (5–6), 703–707 (2007) [transl. from *Mat. Zametki* **82** (5), 783–786 (2007)]. [doi](#)
5. A. Bikchentaev and F. Sukochev, “When weak and local measure convergence implies norm convergence,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **473** (2), 1414–1431 (2019). [doi](#)
6. A. M. Bikchentaev, “Convergence in measure and τ -compactness of τ -measurable operators, affiliated with a semifinite von Neumann algebra,” *Russ. Math.* **64** (5), 79–82 (2020) [transl. from *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Mat.*, No. 5, 89–93 (2020)]. [doi](#)
7. A. M. Bikchentaev, “The topologies of local convergence in measure on the algebras of measurable operators,” *Sib. Math. J.* **64** (1), 13–21 (2023) [transl. from *Sib. Mat. Zh.* **64** (1), 17–27 (2023)]. [doi](#)
8. L. J. Ciach, “Some remarks on the convergence in measure and on a dominated sequence of operators measurable with respect to a semifinite von Neumann algebra,” *Colloq. Math.* **55** (1), 109–121 (1988). [doi](#)
9. E. B. Davies, “A generalisation of Kaplansky’s theorem,” *J. London Math. Soc., Ser. 2*, **4** (3), 435–436 (1972). [doi](#)
10. P. G. Dodds, T. K.-Y. Dodds, and B. de Pagter, “Noncommutative Köthe duality,” *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **339** (2), 717–750 (1993). [doi](#)
11. P. G. Dodds, T. K. Dodds, F. A. Sukochev, and O. Ye. Tikhonov, “A non-commutative Yosida–Hewitt theorem and convex sets of measurable operators closed locally in measure,” *Positivity* **9** (3), 457–484 (2005). [doi](#)
12. T. Fack and H. Kosaki, “Generalized s -numbers of τ -measurable operators,” *Pac. J. Math.* **123** (2), 269–300 (1986). [doi](#)
13. R. V. Kadison, “Strong continuity of operator functions,” *Pac. J. Math.* **26** (1), 121–129 (1968). [doi](#)
14. M. A. Muratov and V. I. Chilin, “Topological algebras of measurable and locally measurable operators,” *J. Math. Sci.* **239** (5), 654–705 (2019) [transl. from *Sovrem. Mat., Fundam. Napr.* **61**, 115–163 (2016)]. [doi](#)
15. G. Sh. Skvortsova, “Weak sequential completeness of factor spaces of the space of integrable operators,” *Russ. Math.* **46** (9), 68–71 (2002) [transl. from *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Mat.*, No. 9, 71–74 (2002)]. [MathNet](#)
16. G. Sh. Skvortsova and O. E. Tikhonov, “Convex sets in noncommutative L_1 -spaces, closed in the topology of local convergence in measure,” *Russ. Math.* **42** (8), 46–52 (1998) [transl. from *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Mat.*, No. 8, 48–55 (1998)]. [MathNet](#)

17. M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras. I* (Springer, Berlin, 2002), *Encycl. Math. Sci.* **124**: Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry **5**.
18. M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras. II* (Springer, Berlin, 2003), *Encycl. Math. Sci.* **125**: Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry **6**.
19. O. E. Tikhonov, “Continuity of operator functions in topologies connected to a trace on a von Neumann algebra,” *Sov. Math.* **31** (1), 110–114 (1987) [transl. from *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved., Mat.*, No. 1, 77–79 (1987)]. [MathNet](#)
20. O. E. Tikhonov, “On convergence of functions of normal operators in strong operator topology,” *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **41** (3), 245–246 (2007) [transl. from *Funkts. Anal. Prilozh.* **41** (3), 93–95 (2007)]. 

Translated by K. Shubik

Publisher’s note. Pleiades Publishing remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.