



Commutators in C^* -algebras and traces

Airat Bikchentaev¹

Received: 10 October 2022 / Accepted: 20 January 2023
© Tusi Mathematical Research Group (TMRG) 2023

Abstract

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, $\dim \mathcal{H} = +\infty$. Let $X = U|X|$ be the polar decomposition of an operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then, X is a non-commutator if and only if both U and $|X|$ are non-commutators. A Hermitian operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a commutator if and only if the Cayley transform $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is a commutator. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $\dim \mathcal{H} \leq +\infty$, $A, B, P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $P = P^2$. If $AB = \lambda BA$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ then the operator AB is a commutator. The operator AP is a commutator if and only if PA is a commutator.

Keywords Hilbert space · Linear operator · Commutator · C^* -algebra · Trace

Mathematics Subject Classification 46L05 · 46L30 · 47C15

1 Introduction

Dimension functions and traces on C^* -algebras are fundamental tools in the operator theory and its applications. Therefore, they have been actively studied in recent decades, see [12, 14, 23, 29, 32, 34]. For a C^* -subalgebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, put

$$\mathcal{A}_0 = \left\{ X \in \mathcal{A} : X = \sum_{n \geq 1} [X_n, X_n^*] \text{ for } (X_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{A} \right\},$$

the series $\| \cdot \|$ -converges. It is proved in [20, Theorem 2.6] that \mathcal{A}_0 coincides with the zero-space of all finite traces on \mathcal{A}^{sa} . For a wide class of C^* -algebras that contains all von Neumann algebras, we can consider only finite sums of the indicated form, see [24]. Elements of unital C^* -algebras without tracial states can be represented as finite

Communicated by M. S. Moslehian.

✉ Airat Bikchentaev
Airat.Bikchentaev@kpfu.ru

¹ Kazan Federal University, 18 Kremlyovskaya Str., Kazan 420008, Russia

sums of commutators. Moreover, the number of commutators involved in these sums is bounded and depends only on the given C^* -algebra [31]. The characterization of traces on C^* -algebras is an urgent problem and attracts the attention of a large group of researchers. Commutation relations allowed to obtain characterizations of the traces in a broad class of weights on von Neumann algebras and C^* -algebras [6–9]. An interesting problem is representation of elements of C^* -algebras via commutators of special form [4, 13, 27].

The following results were obtained. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, $\dim \mathcal{H} = +\infty$. (1) Let a Hermitian operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a non-commutator and $\sigma(X)$ be the spectrum of X . Then, $f(X)$ is a non-commutator for every continuous function $f : \sigma(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(x) \neq 0$ (Lemma 3.13). (2) Let $X = U|X|$ be the polar decomposition of an operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent: (i) X is a non-commutator; (ii) U and $|X|$ are non-commutators (Theorem 3.15). (3) For a Hermitian operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the following conditions are equivalent: (i) X is a commutator; (ii) the Cayley transform $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is a commutator (Theorem 3.17). (4) Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $\dim \mathcal{H} \leq +\infty$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $P = P^2$. If $AB = \lambda BA$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ then the operator AB is a commutator. The operator AP is a commutator if and only if PA is a commutator (Theorem 3.19).

2 Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra, $\mathcal{A}^{\text{id}} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : A^2 = A\}$ be the set of all idempotents in \mathcal{A} . An element $X \in \mathcal{A}$ is a *commutator*, if $X = [A, B] = AB - BA$ for some $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$. For $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ define their Jordan product by the equality $X \circ Y = \frac{XY + YX}{2}$. For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ we write $A \sim B$ if there exist $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $XY = A, YX = B$ (hence $A - B = [X, Y]$). If \mathcal{A} is unital and $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ are similar then $A \sim B$.

A C^* -algebra is a complex Banach $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} such that $\|A^*A\| = \|A\|^2$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. For a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{A}^{pr} , \mathcal{A}^{sa} , and \mathcal{A}^+ we denote its projections ($A = A^* = A^2$), Hermitian elements, and positive elements, respectively. If $A \in \mathcal{A}$, then $|A| = \sqrt{A^*A} \in \mathcal{A}^+$. In a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , two projections P and Q are **-equivalent* if there exists an element X in \mathcal{A} (necessarily a partial isometry) such that $P = X^*X$ and $Q = XX^*$. If $R \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{id}}$ then $R \sim T$ for some $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{pr}}$; if $P, Q \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{pr}}$ and $P \sim Q$ then P and Q are **-equivalent* [21, Proposition IV.1.1]. As is well known, in a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , the Cayley transform

$$\mathcal{K}(X) = \frac{X + iI}{X - iI} = (X - iI)^{-1}(X + iI) = (X + iI)(X - iI)^{-1}$$

of an element $X \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{sa}}$ is a unitary element of \mathcal{A} .

A mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{A}^+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is called a *trace* on a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , if $\varphi(X + Y) = \varphi(X) + \varphi(Y)$, $\varphi(\lambda X) = \lambda\varphi(X)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}^+$, $\lambda \geq 0$ (moreover, $0 \cdot (+\infty) \equiv 0$); $\varphi(Z^*Z) = \varphi(ZZ^*)$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{A}$. For a trace φ , define

$$\mathfrak{M}_\varphi^+ = \{X \in \mathcal{A}^+ : \varphi(X) < +\infty\}, \quad \mathfrak{M}_\varphi = \text{lin}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{M}_\varphi^+.$$

The restriction $\varphi|_{\mathfrak{M}_\varphi^+}$ can always be extended by linearity to a functional on \mathfrak{M}_φ , which we denote by the same letter φ .

Lemma 2.1 *Let φ be a trace on a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Then, $\varphi(AB) = \varphi(BA)$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{M}_\varphi$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof See, for example, [22, §6, item (iii) of Proposition 6.1.2]. \square

A positive linear functional φ on \mathcal{A} with $\|\varphi\| = 1$ is called a *state*. A trace φ is called *faithful*, if $\varphi(X) = 0$ ($X \in \mathcal{A}^+$) $\Rightarrow X = 0$.

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space over the field \mathbb{C} , $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the $*$ -algebra of all linear bounded operators on \mathcal{H} . An operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ possesses a left (resp., right) essential inverse X_l^{-1} (resp., X_r^{-1}) if $X_l^{-1}X = I + K$ (resp., $XX_r^{-1} = I + K$) for some compact operator $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We have $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{tr}} = \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$, the set of all trace class operators on \mathcal{H} . By Gelfand–Naimark Theorem every C^* -algebra is isometrically isomorphic to a concrete C^* -algebra of operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} [16, II.6.4.10]. For $\dim \mathcal{H} = n < +\infty$, the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ can be identified with the full matrix algebra $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Let \mathcal{H} be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. The algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is known to contain a proper uniformly closed ideal \mathcal{J} that contains all other proper uniformly closed ideals of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, see [17, Section 6]. In case \mathcal{H} is separable, \mathcal{J} is the ideal of compact operators. Combining Theorems 3 and 4 in [17], we get the following assertion.

Theorem 2.2 (Brown–Percy Theorem) *An operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a non-commutator if and only if $X = xI + J$ for some $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $J \in \mathcal{J}$.*

If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $T = U|T|$ is its polar decomposition, the Aluthge transform of T is the operator $\Delta(T)$ defined as $\Delta(T) = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ [1]. More generally, for any real number $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the λ -Aluthge transformation is defined as $\Delta_\lambda(T) = |T|^\lambda U|T|^{1-\lambda} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ [18]. We have $T \sim \Delta_\lambda(T)$ for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ (hint: put $X = |T|^\lambda$ and $Y = U|T|^{1-\lambda}$).

3 Idempotents and commutators in C^* -algebras

Lemma 3.1 *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital algebra, let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $ABA = \lambda A$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.*

- (i) *If $A \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{id}}$ then the idempotents A , $\lambda^{-1}AB$ and $\lambda^{-1}BA$ are pairwise similar.*
- (ii) *If $B \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{id}}$ then the idempotents $\lambda^{-1}AB$, $\lambda^{-1}BA$ and $\lambda^{-1}BAB$ are pairwise similar.*
- (iii) *If $A, B \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{id}}$ then $A \sim \lambda^{-1}BAB$. If, moreover, $BAB = \lambda B$ then $A \sim B$.*

Proof (i) The elements $P = \lambda^{-1}AB$ and $Q = \lambda^{-1}BA$ lie in \mathcal{A}^{id} . We have $PA = A$ and $AP = P$ (resp., $QA = Q$ and $AQ = A$) and apply [13, Lemma 2]. Therefore, the idempotents A and P (resp., A and Q) are similar. Since similarity is an equivalence relation, the idempotents P and Q are also similar. If \mathcal{A} acts on a vector space \mathcal{E} , then by [19, Lemma 2], we have $\text{Im } P = \text{Im } A$ and $\text{Ker } Q = \text{Ker } A$.

(ii) The elements $P = \lambda^{-1}AB$, $Q = \lambda^{-1}BA$ and $R = \lambda^{-1}BAB$ lie in \mathcal{A}^{id} . We have $PR = P$ and $RP = R$ (resp., $QR = R$ and $RQ = Q$) and apply [13, Lemma 2]. Therefore, the idempotents P and R (resp., Q and R) are similar. Since similarity is an equivalence relation, the idempotents P and Q are also similar. If \mathcal{A} acts on a vector space \mathcal{E} then by [19, Lemma 2] we have $\text{Im } Q = \text{Im } R$ and $\text{Ker } P = \text{Ker } R$.

(iii) Put $X = \lambda^{-1}AB$ and $Y = BA$. □

Projections $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are called *isoclinic* with angle $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$, if $PQP = \cos^2 \theta P$ and $QPQ = \cos^2 \theta Q$ [33, Definition 10.4]. Thus, in this case, the idempotents $P, Q, \cos^{-2} \theta PQ, \cos^{-2} \theta QP$ are pairwise similar.

Example Consider the following complex 2×2 matrices:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \mu \\ 0 & \nu \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $P, Q \in \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})^{\text{id}}$ and $PXP = \lambda P, PQP = (1 + z^2)P, QPQ = (1 + z^2)Q$. For an arbitrary $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, there exists a pseudo-inverse $B \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $ABA = A$ (see [30, Theorem 1.4.15]).

Lemma 3.2 *Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra, $A, B \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{id}}$ be such that $ABA = \lambda A$ and $BAB = \lambda B$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Then, the idempotents $A, \lambda^{-1}AB, B$ and $\lambda^{-1}BA$ are pairwise similar and $P = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}(A - B)^2 \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{id}}$. We have $[A, B]^{2k} = \lambda^k(\lambda - 1)^k P$ and $[A, B]^{2k+1} = \lambda^k(\lambda - 1)^k [A, B]$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

If \mathbb{J} is an ideal in \mathcal{A} then $[A, B]^n \in \mathbb{J} \Leftrightarrow A, B \in \mathbb{J}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof By Lemma 3.1, the idempotents $A, \lambda^{-1}AB, B$ and $\lambda^{-1}BA$ are pairwise similar. We have

$$[A, B]^2 = ABA \cdot B + BAB \cdot A - ABA - BAB = -\lambda(A - B)^2. \tag{3.1}$$

On the other hand, for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{id}}$, we have

$$[A, B]^2 = (A - B)^4 - (A - B)^2.$$

Thus, by (3.1), we obtain $(A - B)^4 = (1 - \lambda)(A - B)^2$. Multiply both sides of the last equality by the number $(1 - \lambda)^{-2}$ and obtain $P = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}(A - B)^2 \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{id}}$. Since $(A - B)^2$ commutes with A and B for all $A, B \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{id}}$, we have $PA = AP = A$ and $PB = BP = B$. Since $[A, B]^2 = \lambda(\lambda - 1)P$, we conclude that $[A, B]^{2k} = \lambda^k(\lambda - 1)^k P$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $[A, B]^{2k+1} = [A, B]^{2k} \cdot [A, B] = \lambda^k(\lambda - 1)^k [A, B]$, the element $[A, B]^{2k+1}$ is a commutator for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let \mathbb{J} be an ideal in \mathcal{A} , $\lambda \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $[A, B]^n \in \mathbb{J}$.

Step 1. If n is even then by the equality $[A, B]^{2k} = \lambda^k(\lambda - 1)^k P$, we have $(A - B)^2 \in \mathbb{J}$ and $(1 - \lambda)A = A - ABA = A(A - B)^2 A \in \mathbb{J}$. Thus, $A \in \mathbb{J}$.

Step 2. If n is odd then for the even number $n + 1$, we have $[A, B]^{n+1} = [A, B]^n \cdot [A, B] \in \mathbb{J}$ and apply Step 1. □

Example Consider the following complex 2×2 matrices:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $P, Q \in \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})^{\text{id}}$ and $PQP = P, QPQ = Q$.

Theorem 3.3 *Let φ be a faithful trace on a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} ; let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{id}} \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $ABA = \lambda A$ and $BAB = \lambda B$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Then, $[A, B]^n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof It suffices to show that $[A, B]^n \neq 0$ for all even $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Case 1: $[A, B]^{2k} \notin \mathfrak{M}_\varphi$. Then, $[A, B]^{2k} \neq 0$.

Case 2: $[A, B]^{2k} \in \mathfrak{M}_\varphi$. Then, by Lemma 3.2 we obtain $A, B \in \mathfrak{M}_\varphi$. We have $\varphi(A), \varphi(B) \in \mathbb{R}^+$ by [10, Theorem 4.6] and recall that the trace φ is faithful. Now, apply Lemma 3.2 and by Lemma 2.1 obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi([A, B]^{2k}) &= \lambda^k (\lambda - 1)^k \varphi(P) \\ &= -\lambda^k (\lambda - 1)^{k-1} (\varphi(A) - \varphi(AB) + \varphi(B) - \varphi(BA)) \\ &= -\lambda^k (\lambda - 1)^{k-1} (\varphi(A) - \varphi(ABA) + \varphi(B) - \varphi(BAB)) \\ &= \lambda^k (\lambda - 1)^k (\varphi(A) + \varphi(B)) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $[A, B]^n \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Corollary 3.4 *Let φ be a faithful tracial state on a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} , let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{id}} \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $ABA = \lambda A$ and $BAB = \lambda B$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Then, the element $[A, B]^{2n}$ is a non-commutator for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof We have $\varphi([A, B]^{2n}) \neq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (see the proof of Theorem 3.3). \square

Theorem 2.2 allows us to state

Lemma 3.5 *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, $\dim \mathcal{H} = \infty$. If operators $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are non-commutators then XY and $X \circ Y$ are non-commutators. In particular, X^n is a non-commutator for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Theorem 3.6 *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, $\dim \mathcal{H} = +\infty$, and let an operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a non-commutator. If $A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $A_1 B_1, \dots, A_n B_n$ are non-commutators, then the operator $A_n \cdots A_1 X B_1 \cdots B_n$ is a non-commutator.*

Proof We apply Theorem 2.2. Let $X = \lambda I + J$ and $A_k B_k = \lambda_k I + J_k$ for some $\lambda, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and operators $J, J_k \in \mathcal{J}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$. The proof is by induction. For $n = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 X B_1 &= A_1 (\lambda I + J) B_1 = \lambda A_1 B_1 + A_1 J B_1 = \lambda (\lambda_1 I + J_1) + A_1 J B_1 \\ &= \lambda \lambda_1 I + \lambda J_1 + A_1 J B_1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\lambda \lambda_1 \neq 0$ and the operator $\lambda J_1 + A_1 J B_1$ lies in \mathcal{J} . The case of $n \geq 2$ follows by induction. \square

Corollary 3.7 *If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and the operator AB is a non-commutator, then the operator $A^n B^n$ is a non-commutator for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Lemma 3.8 (on division) *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, $\dim \mathcal{H} = +\infty$ and $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.*

- (i) *If XY and X (resp., Y) are non-commutators, then Y (resp., X) is a non-commutator.*
- (ii) *If $X \circ Y$ and X (resp., Y) are non-commutators then Y (resp., X) is a non-commutator.*

Proof (i) Let operators XY and X be non-commutators. Then, by Theorem 2.2, we have

$$XY = \lambda I + J, \quad X = \mu I + J_1$$

for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and certain operators $J, J_1 \in \mathcal{J}$. Therefore,

$$\lambda I + J = XY = (\mu I + J_1)Y = \mu Y + J_1 Y$$

and $Y = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} I + J_2$ with the operator $J_2 = \frac{1}{\mu}(K - J_1 Y) \in \mathcal{J}$. Thus, Y is a non-commutator by Theorem 2.2.

In particular, if $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is left (resp., right) invertible, then X is a non-commutator if and only if X_l^{-1} (resp., X_r^{-1}) is a non-commutator.

(ii) Let operators $X \circ Y$ and X be non-commutators. Then, by Theorem 2.2, we have

$$X \circ Y = \lambda I + J, \quad X = \mu I + J_1$$

for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and certain operators $J, J_1 \in \mathcal{J}$. Therefore,

$$\lambda I + J = X \circ Y = \frac{(\mu I + J_1)Y + Y(\mu I + J_1)}{2} = \mu Y + \frac{J_1 Y + Y J_1}{2}$$

and $Y = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} I + J_2$ with the operator $J_2 = \frac{1}{\mu}(J - J_1 \circ Y) \in \mathcal{J}$. Thus, Y is a non-commutator by Theorem 2.2. \square

Corollary 3.9 [15, Corollary 14] *If \mathcal{H} is separable and an operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ admits a left (resp., right) essential inverse X_l^{-1} (resp., X_r^{-1}) then X_l^{-1} (resp., X_r^{-1}) is a non-commutator if and only if X is a non-commutator.*

Corollary 3.10 *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ be a regular point of $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $R_\lambda = (X - \lambda I)^{-1}$ be the resolvent of X . If X is a non-commutator, then R_λ is a non-commutator.*

Proof By Theorem 2.2, we have $X = xI + J$ for some $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and an operator $J \in \mathcal{J}$. Since every operator from \mathcal{J} is non-invertible, we infer that $x \neq \lambda$ and apply Corollary 3.9. \square

Corollary 3.11 *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, $\dim \mathcal{H} = \infty$. Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $ABA = \lambda A + J$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and an operator $J \in \mathcal{J}$. If A is a non-commutator then B is also a non-commutator.*

Proof Note that an operator $\lambda A + J$ is a non-commutator, see Theorem 2.2. Apply Lemma 3.8 with $X = AB, Y = A$ and conclude that AB is non-commutator. Again apply Lemma 3.8 with $X = A, Y = B$ and infer that B is non-commutator. \square

Lemma 3.12 *Let \mathcal{J} be a proper uniformly closed ideal in a unital C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} . Let a Hermitian element $X \in \mathcal{A}$ be of the form $X = xI + J_1$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $J_1 \in \mathcal{J}$. The equality $f(X) = f(x)I + J$ holds for any continuous real-valued function f on the spectrum $\sigma(X)$, here $J \in \mathcal{J}$.*

Proof Since the ideal \mathcal{J} is proper, $I \notin \mathcal{J}$, the elements of \mathcal{J} are irreversible and $x \in \sigma(X)$. Since $X^n = x^n I + J_n$ with $J_n \in \mathcal{J}$, for a polynomial $p(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_k t^k$ we have $p(X) = a_0 I + a_1 X + \dots + a_k X^k = p(x)I + J'$, where $J' \in \mathcal{J}$. By the Weierstrass Theorem, there exists a sequence $\{p_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ of polynomials, which converges uniformly on $\sigma(X)$ to the function f as $m \rightarrow \infty$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p_m(X) = p_m(x)I + J^{(m)}$, where $J^{(m)} \in \mathcal{J}$. Since $p_m(X) \rightarrow f(X)$ and $p_m(x)I \rightarrow f(x)I$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, the sequence $\{J^{(m)}\}_{m=1}^\infty$ also converges. The limit of $\{J^{(m)}\}_{m=1}^\infty$ lies in \mathcal{J} , because \mathcal{J} is uniformly closed. It follows that $f(X) = f(x)I + J$ with $J \in \mathcal{J}$. \square

By Lemma 3.12 and Theorem 2.2, we get

Lemma 3.13 *Let \mathcal{H} be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Let an operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{sa}}$ be a non-commutator, $X = xI + J$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $J \in \mathcal{J}$ (see Theorem 2.2). Then, $f(X)$ is a non-commutator for every continuous function $f : \sigma(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(x) \neq 0$.*

Remark 3.14 In particular, an operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ is a non-commutator if and only if an operator X^q is a non-commutator for some (consequently, for all) $q > 0$ (recall that $\dim \mathcal{H} = \infty$). This fact also follows by [5, Remark 4] (hint: consider the odd continuation of the function $f(t) = t^q$ from $[0, +\infty)$ to \mathbb{R}). If \mathcal{H} is a separable space and an operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ is a non-commutator, then the projection X^0 on the closure of the range of X is a non-commutator. Indeed, if $0 \leq X \leq I$, then $\{X^{\frac{1}{n}}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a monotone increasing sequence of operators whose strong-operator limit is the projection X^0 on the closure of the range of X [28, Lemma 5.1.5]. If $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^+$ is a non-commutator, then $\dim X^{0\perp} \mathcal{H} < \infty$ and $X^0 = I - X^{0\perp}$ is a non-commutator by Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 3.15 *Let \mathcal{H} be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, and let $X = U|X|$ be the polar decomposition of an operator $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) X is a non-commutator;
- (ii) U and $|X|$ are non-commutators.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). By Theorem 2.2, we have $X = xI + J$ for some $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and an operator $J \in \mathcal{J}$. Since an operator J^* lies in \mathcal{J} , $X^* = \bar{x}I + J^*$ is a non-commutator. Now, by Lemma 3.5, the operator X^*X is a non-commutator. Therefore, $|X| = \sqrt{X^*X}$ is a non-commutator by Lemma 3.13 with $f(t) = \sqrt{t}$, $t \geq 0$. Since $X = U|X|$, an operator U is a non-commutator by Lemma 3.8.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Since $X = U|X|$, the assertion follows by Lemma 3.5. □

Corollary 3.16 *Let \mathcal{H} be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space, and let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.*

- (i) *If T is a non-commutator, then for any real number $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the λ -Aluthge transformation $\Delta_\lambda(T) = |T|^\lambda U|T|^{1-\lambda}$ is a non-commutator.*
- (ii) *If $|T|$ and $\Delta_\lambda(T)$ for some number $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ are non-commutators, then T is a non-commutator.*

Proof (i) By Theorem 3.15, the operators U and $|T|$ are non-commutators. Then, we apply Theorem 3.6 with $A_1 = |T|^\lambda$, $B_1 = |T|^{1-\lambda}$ and $X = U$.

(ii) For $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, the operators $|T|^\lambda$, $|T|^{1-\lambda}$ are non-commutators, see Remark 3.14. For $X = |T|^\lambda$, $Y = U|T|^{1-\lambda}$ Lemma 3.8 implies that $U|T|^{1-\lambda}$ is non-commutator. Thus, $T = U|T|^{1-\lambda} \cdot |T|^\lambda$ is non-commutator as a product of two non-commutators by Lemma 3.5. □

For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\dim \mathcal{H} < \infty$, we have $\text{tr}(T) = \text{tr}(\Delta_\lambda(T))$ for any number $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Thus, T is a commutator if and only if $\Delta_\lambda(T)$ is a commutator for some (consequently, for all) $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ by [26, Ch. 24, Problem 230].

Example Let $X = U|X|$ be the polar decomposition of a matrix $X \in \mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$. If X is an invertible commutator, then U is a commutator. Indeed, we have $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in some basis in \mathbb{C}^2 by [25, Ch. II, Problem 209] and $ab \neq 0$. Let $a = e^{i\alpha}|a|$, $b = e^{i\beta}|b|$ for $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 2\pi$. Then, $|X| = \sqrt{X^*X} = \text{diag}(|b|, |a|)$. For the unitary matrix $U = [u_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^2$ from equality $X = U|X| = \begin{pmatrix} u_{11}|b| & u_{12}|a| \\ u_{21}|b| & u_{22}|a| \end{pmatrix}$, we have $u_{11} = u_{22} = 0$, $u_{12} = e^{i\alpha}$, $u_{21} = e^{i\beta}$ and U is a commutator by [25, Ch. II, Problem 209].

Theorem 3.17 *Let \mathcal{H} be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Then, for $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{sa}}$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *X is a commutator;*
- (ii) *the Cayley transform $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is a commutator.*

Proof (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let X be a non-commutator. By Theorem 2.2, we have $X = xI + J$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and a Hermitian operator $J \in \mathcal{J}$. The operators $X \pm iI = (x \pm i)I + J$ are non-commutators by Theorem 2.2. Therefore, the operator $(X - iI)^{-1}$ is a non-commutator by Corollary 3.9 and we apply Lemma 3.5. Thus, the Cayley transform $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is a non-commutator.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\mathcal{K}(X)$ be a non-commutator. By Theorem 2.2 for the unitary operator $\mathcal{K}(X)$, we have $\mathcal{K}(X) = xI + J$ for some $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ with $|x| = 1$ and an operator $J \in \mathcal{J}$. We have

$$X + iI = \mathcal{K}(X)(X - iI) = (xI + J)(X - iI) = xX - ixI + JX - iJ. \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore, if $x = 1$, then $I \in \mathcal{J}$; if $x = -1$ then $X \in \mathcal{J}$. In both cases, we arrive to a contradiction. Thus, $x \neq \pm 1$. By (3.2), we have $(1 - x)X = -i(1 + x)I + JX - iJ$ and apply Theorem 2.2. Thus, X is a non-commutator. \square

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra, let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $AB = -BA$, i.e., A and B anticommute. Then, AB and BA are commutators: $AB = [\frac{A}{2}, B]$, $BA = [B, \frac{A}{2}]$.

Lemma 3.18 *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital algebra, let $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $AB = \lambda BA$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, we have the spectral relation $\sigma(AB) = \sigma(BA) = \lambda\sigma(BA)$. Moreover, if B is invertible, then $\sigma(A) = \lambda\sigma(A)$.*

Proof We have $\lambda\sigma(BA) = \sigma(\lambda BA) = \sigma(AB)$. Since

$$\sigma(XY) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(YX) \cup \{0\} \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in \mathcal{A}, \quad (3.3)$$

see [26, Ch. 9, Problem 76], we obtain $\lambda\sigma(BA) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(BA) \cup \{0\}$. Then, we consider two cases: 1) $0 \in \sigma(BA)$, and 2) $0 \notin \sigma(BA)$. In both cases, we have $\lambda\sigma(BA) = \sigma(BA)$. Thus,

$$\sigma(AB) = \lambda\sigma(BA) = \sigma(BA) = \lambda\sigma(AB).$$

For an invertible B , we have $A = AB \cdot B^{-1} = \lambda BA \cdot B^{-1}$ and $\sigma(A) = \lambda\sigma(BAB^{-1}) = \lambda\sigma(A)$ since similarity preserves spectra [26, Ch. 9, Problem 75]. \square

In particular, if $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\det(AB) \neq 0$, then $\lambda^n = 1$ by the theorem on the determinant of a matrix product.

Example In $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ for matrices $A = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, we have $AB = -BA$. Consider the primitive cubic roots of 1: $\omega_1 = 1$, $\omega_2 = -\frac{1}{2} - i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$, $\omega_3 = -\frac{1}{2} + i\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$. In $\mathbb{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ for the matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \omega_1 \\ \omega_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $B = \text{diag}(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3)$, we have $AB = \omega_3 BA$.

Theorem 3.19 *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $\dim \mathcal{H} \leq +\infty$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{id}}$.*

(i) *If $AB = \lambda BA$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$, then the operator AB is a commutator.*

- (ii) If $\dim \mathcal{H} < +\infty$, then AB is a commutator if and only if BA is a commutator.
 (iii) The operator AP is a commutator if and only if PA is a commutator.

Proof (i) For $\lambda = 0$, the assertion is trivial. Assume that $\lambda \neq 0$ and consider two cases.

Case 1: let $\dim \mathcal{H} < +\infty$. Then,

$$\operatorname{tr}(BA) = \operatorname{tr}(AB) = \operatorname{tr}(\lambda BA) = \lambda \operatorname{tr}(BA)$$

and $\operatorname{tr}(BA) = \operatorname{tr}(AB) = 0$. Thus, AB and BA are commutators by [26, Ch. 24, Problem 230].

Case 2: let $\dim \mathcal{H} = +\infty$. Assume that the operator AB is a non-commutator. Then,

$$AB = \mu I + J \tag{3.4}$$

for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and an operator $J \in \mathcal{J}$ by Theorem 2.2. Multiply both sides of equality (3.4) by the operator A from the right and obtain

$$ABA = \mu A + JA. \tag{3.5}$$

Since $\lambda BA = \mu I + J$, multiply both sides of the last equality by the operator A from the left and obtain

$$\lambda ABA = \mu A + AJ. \tag{3.6}$$

By (3.5), we have $\lambda ABA = \mu \lambda A + \lambda JA$; subtract this relation term by term from equality (3.6) and conclude that $\mu(\lambda - 1)A = AJ - \lambda JA$. Therefore, $A \in \mathcal{J}$. Thus $AB \in \mathcal{J}$ and we have a contradiction with representation (3.4).

(ii) If $\dim \mathcal{H} < +\infty$, then $\operatorname{tr}(BA) = \operatorname{tr}(AB)$ and the assertion follows by [26, Ch. 24, Problem 230].

(iii) Let $\dim \mathcal{H} = +\infty$. Assume that the operator AP is a non-commutator. Then, by Theorem 2.2, we have $AP = xI + J$ for some $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and an operator $J \in \mathcal{J}$. Then, for the idempotent $P^\perp = I - P$, we conclude that

$$0 = AP \cdot P^\perp = xP^\perp + JP^\perp.$$

Hence, $P^\perp \in \mathcal{J}$ and $P = I - P^\perp$ is a non-commutator by Theorem 2.2. Since AP and P are non-commutators, the operator A is a non-commutator via Lemma 3.8. Since A and P are non-commutators, the operator PA is a non-commutator via Lemma 3.5.

For the proof of the inverse implication, note that if PA is a non-commutator, then $(PA)^* = A^*P^*$ is also a non-commutator by Theorem 2.2. Recall that $P^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{id}}$ and by the preceding part of the proof P^*A^* is a non-commutator. Therefore, $(P^*A^*)^* = AP$ is a non-commutator by Theorem 2.2. \square

The condition $P \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})^{\text{id}}$ is essential in Theorem 3.19. If \mathcal{H} is separable and $\dim \mathcal{H} = +\infty$, then there exists a partial isometry $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $A^*A = I$ and

the operators AA^* , $I - AA^*$ are non compact (hence by Theorem 2.2 we conclude that A^*A is a non-commutator, but AA^* is a commutator).

Corollary 3.20 *Let $\dim \mathcal{H} = n < +\infty$ and matrices $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $AB = \lambda BA$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$.*

- (i) AB and BA are unitarily equivalent to matrices with zero diagonal.
- (ii) We have $\text{tr}(|I + zAB|) \geq n$ and $\text{tr}(|I + zBA|) \geq n$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof (i) Follows by [25, Ch. II, Problem 209].

(ii) Follows by [11, Theorem 4.8]. \square

Theorem 3.21 *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space, $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be an isometry.*

- (i) If $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a non-commutator, then the operator U^*AU is a non-commutator.
- (ii) If \mathcal{H} is separable and U is a non-commutator, then U is unitary.

Proof If $\dim \mathcal{H} < +\infty$, then every isometry $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is unitary. If $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a non-commutator, then

$$0 \neq \text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(U^*AU)$$

and U^*AU is a non-commutator by [26, Ch. 24, Problem 230].

Assume that $\dim \mathcal{H} = +\infty$. Then, (i) follows by Theorem 2.2. For the proof of (ii), note that $U = xI + K$ for some $x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and a compact operator $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e., U is a thin operator. By Proposition of [2] via $U^*U = I$, we have $UU^* = I$. \square

Theorem 3.22 *Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra and $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $A \sim B$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k t^k$ be a polynomial without a constant term, $q_{n+1}(t) = tp_n(t)$. Then,*

- (i) $p_n(A) \sim p_n(B)$ and $p_n(A) - p_n(B)$ is a commutator;
- (ii) if \mathbb{J} is an ideal in \mathcal{A} and $p_n(A) \in \mathbb{J}$, then $q_{n+1}(B) \in \mathbb{J}$;
- (iii) if $A^m = p_n(A)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $B^{m+1} = q_{n+1}(B)$.

Proof Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $XY = A$ and $YX = B$.

(i) For $Z = a_n(XY)^{n-1}X + a_{n-1}(XY)^{n-2}X + \cdots + a_1X$, we have $p_n(A) = ZY$ and $p_n(B) = YZ$. Thus, $p_n(A) \sim p_n(B)$ and $p_n(A) - p_n(B) = [Z, Y]$.

(ii) Let \mathbb{J} be an ideal in \mathcal{A} and $p_n(A) \in \mathbb{J}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{n+1}(B) &= \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} a_{k-1} B^k = \sum_{k=2}^{n+1} a_{k-1} (YX)^k = Y \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k (YX)^k \right) X \\ &= Y p_n(A) X \in \mathbb{J}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) We have (see the proof of item (ii))

$$q_{n+1}(B) = Y p_n(A) X = Y A^m X = Y (XY)^m X = (YX)^{m+1} = B^{m+1}.$$

\square

Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $A \sim B$. By relation (3.3), we have $\sigma(A) \cup \{0\} = \sigma(B) \cup \{0\}$.

Theorem 3.23 *Let \mathcal{A} be a $*$ -algebra. Then, for $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}^{\text{sa}}$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \sim B$;
- (ii) $A^* \sim B$.

Under these conditions, we have $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $XY = A$ and $YX = B$. Then, $A^* = Y^*X^*$ and $B = YX = (YX)^* = X^*Y^*$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Since $(A^*)^* = A$, we can repeat the proof of the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) for the pair $\{A^*, B\}$.

Via (3.3) and the relation $\sigma(B) = \sigma(YX) \subset \mathbb{R}$ we infer that $\sigma(A) = \sigma(XY) \subset \mathbb{R}$. \square

Theorem 3.24 *Let \mathcal{H} be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. If $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are non-commutators and $A \sim B$, then $A - B \in \mathcal{J}$.*

Proof Let $A = aI + J$, $B = bI + J_1$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and certain operators $J, J_1 \in \mathcal{J}$, see Theorem 2.2. Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $A = XY$, $B = YX$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} X \cdot YX \cdot Y &= X(bI + J_1)Y = bXY + XJ_1Y = abI + bJ + XJ_1Y \\ &= (XY)^2 = (aI + J)^2 = a^2I + 2aJ + J^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the operators $bJ + XJ_1Y$ and $2aJ + J^2$ lie in \mathcal{J} . Therefore, $a = b$ and $A - B \in \mathcal{J}$. \square

Example If $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $A \sim B$, then $\det(A) = \det(B)$ and $\text{tr}(A) = \text{tr}(B)$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{H} is a separable Hilbert space, $\dim \mathcal{H} = \infty$. Then, there exist operators $A \in \mathcal{A}^+$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A \sim B$, $A \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$, but $B \notin \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$. Hint: for some projections $P, Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we have $PQP \in \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$, but $QP \notin \mathfrak{S}_1(\mathcal{H})$, see [3, Remark 1]. Put $X = P$ and $Y = QP$.

Acknowledgements The work was performed under the development program of Volga Region Mathematical Center (agreement no. 075-02-2022-882). The author wishes to give his thanks to the referees for useful remarks and advices.

References

1. Aluthge, A.: On p -hyponormal operators for $0 < p < 1$. Integral Equ. Oper. Theory **13**(3), 307–315 (1990)
2. Berberian, S.K.: The algebra of thin operators is directly finite. Publ. Secc. Mat. Univ. Autòn. Barc. **26**(2), 5–7 (1982)
3. Bikchentaev, A.: Majorization for products of measurable operators. Int. J. Theor. Phys. **37**(1), 571–576 (1998)

4. Bikhchentaev, A.M.: On the representation of elements of a von Neumann algebra in the form of finite sums of products of projections. III. Commutators in C^* -algebras. *Sb. Math.* **199**(3–4), 477–493 (2008)
5. Bikhchentaev, A.M.: On a lemma of Berezin. *Math. Notes* **87**(5–6), 768–773 (2010)
6. Bikhchentaev, A.M.: Commutativity of projections and trace characterization on von Neumann algebras. *Sib. Math. J.* **51**(6), 971–977 (2010)
7. Bikhchentaev, A.M.: Commutation of projections and trace characterization on von Neumann algebras. II. *Math. Notes* **89**(3–4), 461–471 (2011)
8. Bikhchentaev, A.M.: Commutativity of operators and characterization of traces on C^* -algebras. *Dokl. Math.* **87**(1), 79–82 (2013)
9. Bikhchentaev, A.M.: Commutation of projections and characterization of traces on von Neumann algebras. III. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **54**(12), 4482–4493 (2015)
10. Bikhchentaev, A.M.: Concerning the theory of τ -measurable operators affiliated to a semifinite von Neumann algebra. *Math. Notes* **98**(3–4), 382–391 (2015)
11. Bikhchentaev, A.M.: On the convergence of integrable operators affiliated to a finite von Neumann algebra. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* **293**, 67–76 (2016)
12. Bikhchentaev, A.M.: Trace inequalities for Rickart C^* -algebras. *Positivity* **25**(5), 1943–1957 (2021)
13. Bikhchentaev, A.M., Fawwaz, K.: Differences and commutators of idempotents in C^* -algebras. *Russ. Math.* **65**(8), 13–22 (2021)
14. Bikhchentaev, A.M.: The trace and commutators of measurable operators affiliated to a von Neumann algebra. *J. Math. Sci.* **252**(1), 8–19 (2021)
15. Bikhchentaev, A.M.: Essentially invertible measurable operators affiliated to a von Neumann algebra and commutators. *Sib. Math. J.* **63**(2), 224–232 (2022)
16. Blackadar, B.: Operator algebras, theory of C^* -algebras and von Neumann algebras. In: *Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III*, vol. 122. Springer, Berlin (2006)
17. Brown, A., Pearcy, C.: Structure of commutators of operators. *Ann. Math. (2)* **82**(1), 112–127 (1965)
18. Chabbabi, F., Mbekhta, M.: Jordan product maps commuting with the λ -Aluthge transform. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **450**(1), 293–313 (2017)
19. Chevalier, G.: Automorphisms of an orthomodular poset of projections. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **44**(7), 985–998 (2005)
20. Cuntz, J., Pedersen, G.K.: Equivalence and traces on C^* -algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* **33**(2), 135–164 (1979)
21. Davidson, K.R.: C^* -Algebras by Examples. *Fields Institute Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1996)
22. Dixmier, J.: C^* -Algebras and Their Representations (Russian). Translated from the French. Nauka, Moscow (1974)
23. Elliott, G.A., Robert, L., Santiago, L.: The cone of lower semicontinuous traces on a C^* -algebra. *Am. J. Math.* **133**(4), 969–1005 (2011)
24. Fack, T.: Finite sums of commutators in C^* -algebras. *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble* **32**(1), 129–137 (1982)
25. Glazman, I.M., Ljubič, J.I.: *Finite-Dimensional Linear Analysis: A Systematic Presentation in Problem Form*. MIT, Cambridge (1974)
26. Halmos, P.R.: *A Hilbert Space Problem Book*. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, 17. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 19, 2nd edn. Springer, New York (1982)
27. Jafarian, A., Popov, A.I., Radjavi, H.: Common invariant subspaces from small commutators. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **67**(1), 151–167 (2018)
28. Kadison, R.V., Ringrose, J.R.: *Fundamentals of the Theory of Operator Algebras*. Vol. I. *Elementary Theory Graduate Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 15. American Mathematics Society, Providence (1997)
29. Lord, S., Sukochev, F., Zanin, D.: *Singular Traces: Theory and Applications*. *de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics*, vol. 46. Walter de Gruyter GmbH, Berlin (2013)
30. Murphy, G.J.: *C^* -Algebras and Operator Theory*. Academic Press, Boston (1990)
31. Pop, C.: Finite sums of commutators. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **130**(10), 3039–3041 (2002)
32. Rørdam, M.: Fixed-points in the cone of traces on a C^* -algebra. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **371**(12), 8879–8906 (2019)
33. Sherstnev, A.N.: *Methods of Bilinear Forms in Non-commutative Measure and Integral Theory* (Russian). *Fizmatlit*, Moscow (2008)

34. Simon, B.: Trace Ideals and Their Applications. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 120, 2nd edn. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2005)

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.