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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace $\tau, S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be the *-algebra of all $\tau$-measurable operators. Let $S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be the *-algebra of all $\tau$ compact operators and $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)+\mathbb{C} I$ be the *-algebra of all operators $X=A+\lambda I$ with $A \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. It is proved that every operator of $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ that is left-invertible in $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is in fact invertible in $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. It is a generalization of Sterling Berberian theorem (1982) on the subalgebra of thin operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For the singular value function $\mu(t ; Q)$ of $Q=Q^{2} \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the inclusion $\mu(t ; Q) \in\{0\} \bigcup[1,+\infty)$ holds for all $t>0$. It gives the positive answer to the question posed by Daniyar Mushtari in 2010.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we extend the Sterling Berberian's result [2] (see also [12]) on direct finiteness of the algebra of thin operators on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space to the Irving Segal's non-commutative integration setting [16]. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a semifinite von Neumann algebra on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ equipped with a faithful normal semifinite trace $\tau, S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be the *-algebra of all $\tau$-measurable operators. Let $S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be the ${ }^{*}$-algebra of all $\tau$-compact operators and $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)+\mathbb{C} I$ be the *-algebra of all operators $X=A+\lambda I$ with $A \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and a complex number $\lambda$. We prove that every operator of $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ left-invertible in $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is actually invertible in $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (Theorem 3.1). Assume that $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $B \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. We have $A B \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ if and only if $B A \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (Theorem 3.2). For the singular value function $\mu(t ; Q)$ of $Q=Q^{2} \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we have $\mu(t ; Q) \in\{0\} \bigcup[1,+\infty)$ for all $t>0$ (Theorem 3.3). It is the positive answer to the question by Daniyar Mushtari of year 2010.
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## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra of operators on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ be the lattice of projections in $\mathcal{M}, I$ be the unit of $\mathcal{M}$. Also $\mathcal{M}^{+}$denotes the cone of positive elements in $\mathcal{M}$. A mapping $\varphi: \mathcal{M}^{+} \rightarrow[0,+\infty]$ is called a trace, if $\varphi(X+Y)=\varphi(X)+\varphi(Y), \varphi(\lambda X)=\lambda \varphi(X)$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}^{+}, \lambda \geq 0$ (moreover, $0 \cdot(+\infty) \equiv 0$ ); $\varphi\left(Z^{*} Z\right)=\varphi\left(Z Z^{*}\right)$ for all $Z \in \mathcal{M}$. A trace $\varphi$ is called faithful, if $\varphi(X)>0$ for all $X \in \mathcal{M}^{+}, X \neq 0$; normal, if $X_{i} \uparrow X\left(X_{i}, X \in \mathcal{M}^{+}\right) \Rightarrow$

[^0]$\varphi(X)=\sup \varphi\left(X_{i}\right) ;$ semifinite, if $\varphi(X)=\sup \left\{\varphi(Y): Y \in \mathcal{M}^{+}, Y \leq X, \varphi(Y)<+\infty\right\}$ for every $X \in \mathcal{M}^{+}$.

An operator on $\mathcal{H}$ (not necessarily bounded or densely defined) is said to be affiliated to the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ if it commutes with any unitary operator from the commutant $\mathcal{M}^{\prime}$ of the algebra $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\tau$ be a faithful normal semifinite trace on $\mathcal{M}$. A closed operator $X$, affiliated to $\mathcal{M}$ and possesing a domain $\mathfrak{D}(X)$ everywhere dense in $\mathcal{H}$ is said to be $\tau$-measurable if, for any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ such that $P \mathcal{H} \subset \mathfrak{D}(X)$ and $\tau(I-P)<\varepsilon$. The set $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ of all $\tau$-measurable operators is a *-algebra under passage to the adjoint operator, multiplication by a scalar, and operations of strong addition and multiplication resulting from the closure of the ordinary operations [16], [14]. Let $\mathcal{L}^{+}$and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{h}}$ denote the positive and Hermitian parts of a family $\mathcal{L} \subset S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, respectively. We denote by $\leq$ the partial order in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\mathrm{h}}$ generated by its proper cone $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{+}$. If $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $|X|=\sqrt{X^{*} X} \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{+}$. The generalized singular value function $\mu(X): t \rightarrow \mu(t ; X)$ of the operator $X$ is defined by setting

$$
\mu(s ; X)=\inf \{\|X P\|: P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \text { and } \tau(I-P) \leq s\}
$$

Lemma 2.1. (see [10]) We have $\mu(s+t ; X Y) \leq \mu(s ; X) \mu(t ; Y)$ for all $X, Y \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $s, t>0$.
The sets $U(\varepsilon, \delta)=\{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau):(\|X P\| \leq \varepsilon$ and $\tau(I-P) \leq \delta$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}))\}$, where $\varepsilon>0, \delta>0$, form a base at 0 for a metrizable vector topology $t_{\tau}$ on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, called the measure topology [14]. Equipped with this topology, $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a complete metrizable topological *-algebra in which $\mathcal{M}$ is dense. We will write $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\tau} X$ if a sequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to $X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ in the measure topology on $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

The set of $\tau$-compact operators $S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=\left\{X \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau): \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mu(t ; X)=0\right\}$ is an ideal in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. For any closed and densely defined linear operator $X: \mathfrak{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, the null projection $\mathrm{n}(X)=\mathrm{n}(|X|)$ is the projection onto its kernel $\operatorname{Ker}(X)$, the range projection $\mathrm{r}(X)$ is the projection onto the closure of its range $\operatorname{Ran}(X)$ and the support projection $\operatorname{supp}(X)$ of $X$ is defined by $\operatorname{supp}(X)=I-\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{X})$.

The two-sided ideal $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ in $\mathcal{M}$ consisting of all elements of $\tau$-finite range is defined by

$$
\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=\{X \in \mathcal{M}: \tau(\mathrm{r}(X))<\infty\}=\{X \in \mathcal{M}: \tau(\operatorname{supp}(X))<\infty\}
$$

Equivalently, $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=\{X \in \mathcal{M}: \mu(t ; X)=0$ for some $t>0\}$. Clearly, $S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is the closure of $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with respect to the measure topology [9].

## 3. Main results

Throughout the sequel, let $\mathcal{M}$ be an arbitrary semifinite von Neumann algebra, with some distinguished faithful normal semifinite trace $\tau$.

Lemma 3.2. We have $|X| \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ for every $X \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.
Proof. The ideal $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a $C^{*}$-subalgebra in $\mathcal{M}$. Hence $F(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)+\mathbb{C} I$ is an unital $C^{*}$-subalgebra in $\mathcal{M}$ and if $X \in F(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $|X| \in F(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Assume that $X \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, i.e., $X=A+\lambda I$ with $A \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is $t_{\tau}$-dense in $S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, there exists a sequence $\left\{A_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $A_{n} \xrightarrow{\tau} A$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the sequence $X_{n}=A_{n}+\lambda I$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, lies in $F(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $t_{\tau}$-converges to the operator $X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. According to the results given above, $\left|X_{n}\right|=B_{n}+|\lambda| I$ with some $B_{n} \in F(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\mathrm{h}}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\tau} X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $X_{n}^{*} \xrightarrow{\tau} X^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by $t_{\tau}$-continuity of the involution in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then via joint $t_{\tau^{-}}$ continuity of the multiplication in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we have $X_{n}^{*} X_{n} \xrightarrow{\tau} X^{*} X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we obtain $\left|X_{n}\right| \xrightarrow{\tau}|X|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by $t_{\tau}$-continuity of the real function $f(t)=\sqrt{t}, t \geq 0$ [18]. Thus the sequence $\left\{B_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{\tau}$-converges to a some operator $B \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)^{\mathrm{h}}$ and $|X|=B+|\lambda| I$.

Lemma 3.3. (see [4, Corollary 2.4]) If $X \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $X X^{*} \leq X^{*} X$, then $X X^{*}=X^{*} X$.
Lemma 3.4. The idempotents of $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ are the operators $P, I-P$, where $P$ runs over the idempotent operators of $S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.
Proof. Assume that $X=A+\lambda I \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $X^{2}=X$. Then $A^{2}+2 \lambda A+\lambda^{2} I=A+\lambda I$, i.e., $\lambda \in\{0,1\}$. If $\lambda=0$, then $A^{2}=A$ and $A \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is an idempotent operator. Then $I-A \in$ $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and is also an idempotent. If $\lambda=1$, then $A^{2}=-A=(-A)^{2}$ and $-A \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is an idempotent operator. Then $I-(-A) \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and is also an idempotent.

Consider $F_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=\left\{A \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau): \tau(\mathrm{r}(A))<+\infty\right\}$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=F_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)+\mathbb{C} I$. Then $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a *-subalgebra of $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.
Lemma 3.5. $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ contains every idempotent of $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.
Proof. Let $Q$ be an idempotent operator of $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then

$$
\left(Q+Q^{*}-I\right)^{2}=I+\left(Q-Q^{*}\right)\left(Q-Q^{*}\right)^{*}
$$

and by [6, Theorem 2.21] there exists a unique "range" projection $Q^{\sharp} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$, defined by the formula $Q^{\sharp}=Q\left(Q+Q^{*}-I\right)^{-1}$ with $\left(Q+Q^{*}-I\right)^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}$ and subject to the condition $Q^{\sharp} \cdot S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=Q \cdot S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. By [6, Theorem 2.23], there exists a unique decomposition $Q=P+Z$, where $P=Q^{\sharp} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M})$ and $Z \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a nilpotent so that $Z^{2}=0$ and $Z P=0, P Z=Z$. Thus $Q P=P$ and $P Q=Q$. Assume that $Q \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Since $Q P=P$, we have $P \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Since the singular function $\mu(t ; P)=\chi_{(0, \tau(P)]}(t)$ for all $t>0$, we conclude that $P \in \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then by equality $P Q=Q$, we have $Q \in F_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and apply Lemma 3.4.
Lemma 3.6. $F_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a regular ring.
Proof. We show that for every operator $A \in F_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ the equation $A X A=A$ possesses a solution in $F_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. For $A \in F_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, the range projection $\mathrm{r}(A)$ and the support projection $\operatorname{supp}(A)$ lie in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Consider the projection $P=\mathrm{r}(A) \bigvee \operatorname{supp}(A)$ in $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and the reduced von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_{P}=P \mathcal{M} P$, the reduced faithful normal finite trace $\tau_{P}$ with $\tau_{P}(X)=\tau(P X P), X \in \mathcal{M}_{P}^{+}$. The algebra $\mathcal{M}_{P}$ is finite, therefore $S\left(\mathcal{M}_{P}, \tau_{P}\right)$ is a regular ring by [15, Theorem 4.3]. Since $A \in S\left(\mathcal{M}_{P}, \tau_{P}\right)$, the equation $A X A=A$ admits a solution in $S\left(\mathcal{M}_{P}, \tau_{P}\right) \subset F_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Idempotents $P, Q$ of a ring $\mathcal{R}$ are said to be equivalent (in $\mathcal{R}$ ), written $P \sim Q$, if there exist elements $X, Y \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $X Y=P$ and $Y X=Q$ (replacing $X, Y$ by $P X Q, Q Y P$, one can suppose that $X \in P \mathcal{R} Q, Y \in Q \mathcal{R} P$ [13, p. 22]). Projections (=self-adjoint idempotents) $P, Q$ of a ring with involutions are said to be *-equivalent if there exists an element $X$ such that $X X^{*}=P$ and $X^{*} X=Q$.
Theorem 3.1. If $X, Y \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $X Y=I$, then $Y X=I$.
Proof. In the terms of ring theory, we assert that the $\operatorname{ring} T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is "directly finite" [11, p. 49]. Since $F_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ (by Lemma 3.6) and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) / F_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \cong \mathbb{C}$ are both regular rings, $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is a regular ring [11, p. 2, Lemma 1.3]; since, moreover, the involution of $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is proper ( $A A^{*}=0$ implies $A=0$ ), the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is *-regular in the sense of von Neumann [1, p . 229].

If $X, Y$ are elements of $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $X Y=I$, then $P=Y X$ is an idempotent of $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $P \sim I$ in $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. By Lemma 3.5, we have $P \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$; since $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is *-regular, there exists a projection $Q \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ such that $Q \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=P \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)[1$, p. 229, Proposition 3]. Then $P \sim Q$ in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ [13, p. 21, Theorem 14], a fortiori $P \sim Q$ in $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$; already $P \sim I$ in $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, so $Q \sim I$ in $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ by transitivity. Since $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$
satisfies the "square root" axiom (SR) and contains square roots of its positive elements (see Lemma 3.2 and [13, p. 90]), it follows that the projections $P, I$ are *-equivalent in $T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ [13, p. 35, Theorem 27], say $X \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ with $X X^{*}=P, X^{*} X=I$. By Lemma 3.3, $P=I$; then $Q \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=P \cdot \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)=\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ shows that $P=I$, that is, $Y X=I$.

Theorem 3.1 can obviously be reformulated as follows: if $A, B \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $A+B+A B=$ 0 , then $A B=B A$. On invertibility in $S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, see [17], [7] and [8].
Theorem 3.2. Assume that $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $B \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then $A B \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ if and only if $B A \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Proof. " $\Rightarrow$ ". If $B \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, then $B A \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subset T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Assume that $B \notin S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then $B=\lambda I+K$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and $K \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A B=\lambda A+A K=\mu I+K_{1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $K_{1} \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.
Case 1: $\mu=0$. Then we have $A \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ by (3.1); hence $B A \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \subset T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.
Case 2: $\mu \neq 0$. Then by (3.1), we have $\lambda A=\mu I+K_{2}$ with $K_{2}=K_{1}-A K \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Therefore, $A=\frac{\mu}{\lambda} I+\frac{1}{\lambda} K_{2}$ and

$$
B A=(\lambda I+K)\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda} I+\frac{1}{\lambda} K_{2}\right)=I+K_{3}
$$

with $K_{3}=K_{1}-A K+\frac{\mu}{\lambda} K+\frac{1}{\lambda} K K_{1}-\frac{1}{\lambda} K A K \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Thus $B A \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.
" $\Leftarrow$ ". We know that $X \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ if and only if $X^{*} \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, and apply the proof given above to the pair $\left\{A^{*}, B^{*}\right\}$.

Corollary 3.1. If $A \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $B \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau) \backslash S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $A B \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$;
(ii) $B A \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$;
(iii) $A \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.

Proof. "(i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)". Let $B=\lambda I+K$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ and $K \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Then $A B=$ $\lambda A+A K=\mu I+K_{1}$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $K_{1} \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$. Thus $\lambda A=\mu I+K_{1}-A K$ and $A=\frac{\mu}{\lambda} I+\frac{1}{\lambda} K_{1}-\frac{1}{\lambda} A K \in T(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$.
Theorem 3.3. If $Q \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ is such that $Q^{2}=Q$, then $\mu(t ; Q) \in\{0\} \bigcup[1,+\infty)$ for all $t>0$. For the symmetry $U=2 Q-I$, we have $\mu(t ; U) \geq 1$ for all $t>0$.
Proof. For $Q=Q^{2} \notin S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, we have $\mu(t ; Q) \geq 1$ for all $t>0$, see [5, Lemma 3.8]. Let $Q=Q^{2} \in S_{0}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ and $P$ be "the range" projection of the idempotent $Q$, see the proof of Lemma 3.5. Since $Q P=P$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{M}) \bigcap \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
1=\mu(s+t ; P)=\chi_{(0, \tau(P)]}(s+t)=\mu(s+t ; Q P) \leq \mu(s ; P) \mu(t ; Q)=\mu(t ; Q)
$$

for all $s, t>0$ with $s+t \leq \tau(P)$. By tending $s$ to $0+$, we obtain $\mu(t ; Q) \geq 1$ for all $0<t<$ $\tau(P)$. By the right continuity of the function $\mu(t ; \cdot)$, we have $\mu(\tau(P) ; Q) \geq 1$. If $t>\tau(P)$ then $\mu(t ; P)=0$; by the equality $P Q=Q$ and by Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$
0 \leq \mu(t ; Q)=\mu(t ; P Q) \leq \mu(t-\varepsilon ; P) \mu(\varepsilon ; Q)=0
$$

for all $\varepsilon>0$ with $t-\varepsilon>\tau(P)$.
Let $Q \in S(\mathcal{M}, \tau)$ be such that $Q^{2}=Q$. For the symmetry $U=2 Q-I$, we have $U^{2}=I$ and by Lemma 2.1 obtain

$$
1=\mu(2 t ; I)=\mu\left(2 t ; U^{2}\right) \leq \mu(t ; U) \mu(t ; U)=\mu(t ; U)^{2}
$$

for all $t>0$.
Note that for $Q \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $Q^{2}=Q$ the relation $\mu(t ; Q) \in\{0\} \bigcup[1,\|Q\|]$ for all $t>0$ was obtained by another way in [3, item 1) of Lemma 3.8]. Theorem 3.3 gives the positive answer to the question by Daniyar Mushtari of year 2010.
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